Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc.
115 West Main Street, Suite 400
Urbana, Illinois 61801
(217) 398-3977
www.appliedpavement.com

AUGUST 2019

applied pavement TECHNOLOGY

CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT
PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

PREPARED FOR:

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AVIATION BUREAU

PREPARED BY:

APPLIED PAVEMENT TECHNOLOGY, INC.

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

ROBINSON ENGINEERING COMPANY

August 2019

The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-024-2018) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

APPENDIXES

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport were assessed in November 2018 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). The importance of identifying not only the type of repair but also the optimal time of repair is illustrated in Figure 1. This figure shows that there is a point in a pavement's life cycle where the rate of deterioration increases. The financial impact of delaying repairs beyond this point can be severe.

Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.



The pavement evaluation results for Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport are presented within this report and can be used by the Iowa DOT, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), and Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based Interactive Data Exchange Application (IDEA) containing the pavement management information collected during this project was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website.

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The pavement network at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport was divided into branches, sections, and sample units for pavement management purposes. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways and aprons are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, last construction date, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the condition of the section as a whole.

Approximately 213,029 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport.

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use.



FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.



NETWORK DEFINITION LEGEND

- R12AG-01 (79): BRANCH IDENTIFIER, SECTION IDENTIFIER, PCI VALUE
- SECTION BREAK LINE
- SAMPLE UNIT BREAK LINE
- SLAB JOINT
- 03: SAMPLE UNIT NUMBER
- 03: SAMPLE UNIT INSPECTED
- 03: ADDITIONAL SAMPLE UNIT

applied pavement TECHNOLOGY
115 W. Main Street, Suite 400
Urbana, IL 61801
Tel: (217) 398-3977
Fax: (217) 398-4027

AGENCY: Iowa Department of Transportation, Office of Aviation			
LOCATION: Cresco - Ellen Church Field Airport, Cresco, Iowa			
PAGE TITLE: Network Definition Map			
PROJECT DATE: OCT. 2018	CREATION DATE: OCT. 2018	PROJECT MANAGER: LJR	JOB NUMBER: 17-020-AM02
DRAWING SCALE: 1"=200'	LAST MODIFIED DATE: JAN. 2019	REVISED BY: DSP	DRAWN BY: KEW
FILENAME: Cresco.dwg		LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER: NET. DEF.	PAGE NUMBER: 3

PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-12, *Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys*.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0 (representing a pavement in a failed condition) to a value of 100 (representing a pavement in excellent condition).

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces[1].

PCI = 100 PCI = 60 PCI = 35

[1]Photographs shown are not specific to Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.



The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability). Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates its recurrence.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport were inspected on November 14, 2018. The 2018 area-weighted condition of Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport is 55, with conditions ranging from 24 to 93 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2012, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 77.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport.



Figure 7. PCI by branch use at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport.
(Values on chart are area-weighted)



FIGURE 8. PCI MAP.



LEGEND

R12AG-01 (79)

- BRANCH IDENTIFIER
- SECTION IDENTIFIER
- PCI VALUE
- SECTION BREAK LINE

PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX

PCI
86-100
71-85
56-70
41-55
26-40
11-25
0-10

applied pavement TECHNOLOGY
115 W. Main Street, Suite 400
Urbana, IL 61801
Tel: (217) 398-3977
Fax: (217) 398-4027

Robinson Engineering Company
Consulting Engineers
5751 Westminster Drive
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50613
319-859-0293

AGENCY:	Iowa Department of Transportation Office of Aviation
LOCATION:	Cresco - Ellen Church Field Airport Cresco, Iowa
PAGE TITLE:	2018 Pavement Condition Index Map

PROJECT DATE:	CREATION DATE:	PROJECT MANAGER:	JOB NUMBER:
OCT. 2018	OCT. 2018	LJR	17-020-AM02
DRAWING SCALE:	LAST MODIFIED DATE:	REVISED BY:	DRAWN BY:
1"=200'	APR. 2019	KEW	KEW
FILENAME:		LAYOUT NAME/NUMBER:	PAGE NUMBER:
Cresco.dwg		PCI	7

Table 1. 2018 pavement evaluation results.

Branch[1]	Section[1]	Surface Type[2]	Section Area (sf)	LCD[3]	2018 PCI	% Distress due to Load[4]	% Distress due to Climate/ Durability[5]	% Distress due to Other[6]	Type of Distresses[7]
A01CR	01	PCC	2,910	6/2/2008	93	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
A01CR	02	PCC	2,979	6/1/1985	24	89	6	5	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A01CR	03	PCC	3,780	6/1/1984	30	89	7	4	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A02CR	01	PCC	8,726	6/2/2008	88	31	52	17	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
A02CR	02	PCC	2,287	6/1/1999	64	40	29	31	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking
R15CR	01	PCC	148,209	6/1/1973	45	24	18	58	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R15CR	02	PCC	2,329	6/1/1981	75	23	25	52	ASR, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
T01CR	01	PCC	3,364	6/2/2008	84	61	39	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T02CR	01	PCC	2,815	6/2/2008	90	0	69	31	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Shrinkage Cracking
T03CR	01	PCC	30,406	6/2/2008	83	55	38	7	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T04CR	01	PCC	5,224	6/1/2018	88	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage

Table 1. 2018 pavement evaluation results (continued).

[1]See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

[2]AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

[3]LCD = last construction date.

[4]Distress due to load includes those distresses attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on a PCC pavement.

[5]Distress due to climate or durability includes those distresses attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking in asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] in a PCC pavement). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

[6]Other refers to distresses not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.

[7]Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-12. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport was inspected on November 14, 2018. There were eleven pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 15/33 was defined by two sections. Section 01 comprised the majority of Runway 15/33. Low- and medium-severity ASR, corner break, large patching, faulting, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking; all severities of corner spalling, joint spalling, and small patching; and medium- and high-severity joint seal damage were recorded throughout the section. Section 02, located at the Runway 33 approach, had medium-severity joint seal damage and low-severity alkali-silica reaction (ASR), joint spalling, LTD cracking, and small patching observed during the inspection. The suspected ASR was recorded in accordance with ASTM D5340-12. Laboratory testing and analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 consisted of one section with medium-severity joint seal damage and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking.

Taxiway 02 contained one section. Medium-severity joint seal damage, low-severity joint spalling, and shrinkage cracking were recorded.

Taxiway 03 was defined by one section with low-severity corner spalling and faulting and low- and medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking observed.

Taxiway 04 consisted of one recently constructed section with high-severity joint seal damage identified.

Aprons

Apron 01 was defined by three sections. Section 01 had medium-severity joint seal damage recorded throughout. Section 02 was in poor condition with low- and medium-severity corner break, corner spalling, and LTD cracking and medium-severity joint seal damage and shattered slab observed. Section 03 was also in poor condition with low-severity corner break and corner spalling, medium-severity joint seal damage, and low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and shattered slab identified.

Apron 02 consisted of two sections. Medium-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 01 along with small amounts of low- and medium-severity joint spalling, medium-severity LTD cracking, and low-severity small patching. Section 02 had high-severity joint seal damage observed along with low-severity corner break and faulting and low- and medium-severity joint spalling and LTD cracking.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2019, and an inflation rate of 1.5 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2019) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2020 or 2021, then localized maintenance was not recommended for 2019. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2019 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2019 is contained in Appendix F.

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Year	Branch[1]	Section[1]	Surface Type[2]	Type of Repair[3]	Estimated Cost[4]
2019	A01CR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$1,045
2019	A01CR	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	$48,230
2019	A01CR	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	$61,198
2019	A02CR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$1,570
2019	A02CR	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$1,628
2019	R15CR	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	$1,831,202
2019	R15CR	02	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$1,133
2019	T01CR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$945
2019	T02CR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$683
2019	T03CR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$6,016
2019	T04CR	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	$2,785

Total Estimated Cost: $1,957,000

[1]See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

[2]AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

[3]Major Rehabilitation: such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay. Localized Preventive Maintenance: such as crack sealing or patching.

[4]The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at the airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic and/or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies are considered for prolonging pavement life:

1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity.
2. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is very destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
3. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
4. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
5. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2018 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 55. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport, which revealed that approximately $1,957,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Cresco-Ellen Church Field Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A

CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Settlement	Upheaval or consolidation.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01CR-01. Overview.

A01CR-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).

A01CR-02. Overview.

A01CR-02. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).

A01CR-03. Overview.

A01CR-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).

A02CR-01. Overview.

A02CR-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).

A02CR-02. Overview.

A02CR-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).

R15CR-01. Overview.

R15CR-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 32).

R15CR-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).

R15CR-02. Overview.

R15CR-02. ASR (Sample Unit No. 01).

T01CR-01. Overview.

T01CR-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).

T02CR-01. Overview.

T02CR-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).

T03CR-01. Overview.

T03CR-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).

T04CR-01. Overview.

T04CR-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).

APPENDIX C

INSPECTION REPORT

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: A01CR Name: APRON 01 AT CRESCO Use: APRON Area: 10,266.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 3 From: APRON 01 SECT 02 To: TAXIWAY 02 Last Const.: 06/02/2008
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 2,910.00SqFt Length: 60.00Ft Width: 48.00Ft
Slabs: 20 Slab Width: 12.00Ft Slab Length: 12.00Ft Joint Length: 372.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1
Conditions: PCI : 93
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 93
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: A01CR Name: APRON 01 AT CRESCO Use: APRON Area: 10,266.00SqFt

Section: 02 of 3 From: APRON 01 SECT 03 To: APRON 01 SECT 01 Last Const.: 06/01/1985
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 2,979.00SqFt Length: 100.00Ft Width: 30.00Ft
Slabs: 22 Slab Width: 15.00Ft Slab Length: 10.00Ft Joint Length: 370.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1
Conditions: PCI : 24
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 22.00Slabs PCI = 24
Sample Comments:

63	LINEAR CRACKING	L	12.00 Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	M	5.00 Slabs	Comments:
62	CORNER BREAK	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:
62	CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
72	SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75	CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65	JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	22.00 Slabs	Comments:

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: A01CR Name: APRON 01 AT CRESCO Use: APRON Area: 10,266.00SqFt

Section: 03 of 3 From: APRON 02 To: APRON 01 SECT 02 Last Const.: 06/01/1984
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 3,780.00SqFt Length: 133.00Ft Width: 30.00Ft
Slabs: 26 Slab Width: 15.00Ft Slab Length: 9.50Ft Joint Length: 523.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2
Conditions: PCI : 30
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 12.00Slabs PCI = 27
Sample Comments:

72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	5.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	12.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Sample Number: 002 Type: R Area: 14.00Slabs PCI = 32
Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	14.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: A02CR Name: APRON 02 AT CRESCO Use: APRON Area: 11,013.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 2 From: PARKING AREA To: TAXIWAY 01 Last Const.: 06/02/2008
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 8,726.00SqFt Length: 72.00Ft Width: 50.00Ft
Slabs: 60 Slab Width: 12.00Ft Slab Length: 12.50Ft Joint Length: 466.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3
Conditions: PCI : 88
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 25.00Slabs PCI = 90
Sample Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	25.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 02 Type: R Area: 23.00Slabs PCI = 83
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	23.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 03 Type: R Area: 12.00Slabs PCI = 93
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	12.00	Slabs	Comments:

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: A02CR Name: APRON 02 AT CRESCO Use: APRON Area: 11,013.00SqFt

Section: 02 of 2 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 06/01/1999
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCAPNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 2,287.00SqFt Length: 75.00Ft Width: 30.00Ft
Slabs: 24 Slab Width: 8.00Ft Slab Length: 10.00Ft Joint Length: 401.25Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1
Conditions: PCI : 64
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 24.00Slabs PCI = 64
Sample Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	24.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: R15CR Name: RUNWAY 15/33 AT CRESCO Use: RUNWAY Area: 151,365.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 2 From: RUNWAY END 33 To: RUNWAY END 15 Last Const.: 06/01/1973
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCRWNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 148,209.00SqFt Length: 2,950.00Ft Width: 50.00Ft
Slabs: 787 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 15.00Ft Joint Length: 18,633.33Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 39 Surveyed: 8
Conditions: PCI : 45
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 004 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 35
Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:	
71 FAULTING	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:	
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Sample Number: 008 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 53
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	H	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
76 ASR	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Sample Number: 012 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 35
Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	H	4.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
76 ASR	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	9.00 Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Sample Number: 016 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 38
Sample Comments:

66 SMALL PATCH	L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

76 ASR	L	12.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 020 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 41
Sample Comments:

74 JOINT SPALLING	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 024 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 46
Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	5.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 028 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 63
Sample Comments:

66 SMALL PATCH	L	7.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00	Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 032 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 46
Sample Comments:

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
76 ASR	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	8.00	Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00	Slabs	Comments:

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: R15CR Name: RUNWAY 15/33 AT CRESCO Use: RUNWAY Area: 151,365.00SqFt

Section: 02 of 2 From: RUNWAY END 33 To: TURNAROUND EXTENSION Last Const.: 06/01/1981
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCRWNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 2,329.00SqFt Length: 110.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft
Slabs: 14 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 15.00Ft Joint Length: 403.33Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1
Conditions: PCI : 75
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 14.00Slabs PCI = 75
Sample Comments:

66	SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
76	ASR	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
74	JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65	JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	14.00 Slabs	Comments:

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: T01CR Name: TAXIWAY 01 AT CRESCO Use: TAXIWAY Area: 4,676.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 1 From: APRON 02 To: TAXIWAY O3 Last Const.: 06/02/2008
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 3,364.00SqFt Length: 100.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft
Slabs: 30 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 10.00Ft Joint Length: 325.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1
Conditions: PCI : 84
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 01 Type: R Area: 30.00Slabs PCI = 84
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	30.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: T02CR Name: TAXIWAY 02 AT CRESCO Use: TAXIWAY Area: 3,046.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 1 From: APRON 01 SECT 01 To: TAXIWAY 03 Last Const.: 06/02/2008
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 2,815.00SqFt Length: 94.00Ft Width: 25.00Ft
Slabs: 17 Slab Width: 12.50Ft Slab Length: 13.50Ft Joint Length: 243.07Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1
Conditions: PCI : 90
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 17.00Slabs PCI = 90
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	17.00 Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: T03CR Name: TAXIWAY 03 AT CRESCO Use: TAXIWAY Area: 30,406.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 1 From: APRONS To: RUNWAY 15/33 Last Const.: 06/02/2008
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 30,406.00SqFt Length: 910.00Ft Width: 30.00Ft
Slabs: 136 Slab Width: 15.00Ft Slab Length: 15.00Ft Joint Length: 2,700.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4
Conditions: PCI : 83
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 03 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 75
Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 04 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 66
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 06 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 98
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	Comments:

Sample Number: 07 Type: R Area: 22.00Slabs PCI = 91
Sample Comments:

75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	22.00 Slabs	Comments:

Re-inspection Report

IA2018All
Report Generated Date: June 24, 2019

Network: CJJ Name: CRESCO-ELLEN CHURCH FIELD AIRPORT

Branch: T04CR Name: TAXIWAY 04 AT CRESCO Use: TAXIWAY Area: 5,224.00SqFt

Section: 01 of 1 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP Last Const.: 06/01/2018
Surface: PCC Family: IowaPCCTWNE Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 5,224.00SqFt Length: 165.00Ft Width: 32.00Ft
Slabs: 75 Slab Width: 8.00Ft Slab Length: 10.00Ft Joint Length: 991.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/14/2018 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3
Conditions: PCI : 88
Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 88
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Sample Number: 002 Type: R Area: 28.00Slabs PCI = 88
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	28.00 Slabs	Comments:	

Sample Number: 003 Type: R Area: 27.00Slabs PCI = 88
Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	27.00 Slabs	Comments:	

APPENDIX D

WORK HISTORY REPORT

Network: CJJ **Branch:** A01CR (APRON 01 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/02/2008 **Use:** APRON **Rank** P **Length:** 60.00 Ft **Width:** 48.00 Ft **True Area:** 2,910.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/02/2008	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	$0	6.00	True	-
06/01/2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	$0	4.00	False	MODIFIED SUBBASE
06/01/1986	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	-	-	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** A01CR (APRON 01 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/01/1985 **Use:** APRON **Rank** P **Length:** 100.00 Ft **Width:** 30.00 Ft **True Area:** 2,979.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2011	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2011	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/1985	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	$0	0.00	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** A01CR (APRON 01 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 03 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/01/1984 **Use:** APRON **Rank** P **Length:** 133.00 Ft **Width:** 30.00 Ft **True Area:** 3,780.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2011	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2011	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/1984	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	$0	0.00	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** A02CR (APRON 02 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/02/2008 **Use:** APRON **Rank** P **Length:** 72.00 Ft **Width:** 50.00 Ft **True Area:** 8,726.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/02/2008	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	$0	6.00	True	-
06/01/2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	$0	4.00	False	MODIFIED SUBBASE
06/01/1982	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	-	-	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** A02CR (APRON 02 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/01/1999 **Use:** APRON **Rank** P **Length:** 75.00 Ft **Width:** 30.00 Ft **True Area:** 2,287.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/1999	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	$0	0.00	True	FIELD EST

Network: CJJ **Branch:** R15CR (RUNWAY 15/33 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/01/1973 **Use:** RUNWAY **Rank** P **Length:** 2,950.00 Ft **Width:** 50.00 Ft **True Area:** 148,209.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2011	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2011	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/1973	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	$0	0.00	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** R15CR (RUNWAY 15/33 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 02 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/01/1981 **Use:** RUNWAY **Rank** P **Length:** 110.00 Ft **Width:** 25.00 Ft **True Area:** 2,329.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2011	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/2011	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	$0	0.00	False	-
06/01/1981	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	$0	0.00	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** T01CR (TAXIWAY 01 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/02/2008 **Use:** TAXIWAY **Rank** P **Length:** 100.00 Ft **Width:** 25.00 Ft **True Area:** 3,364.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/02/2008	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	$0	6.00	True	-
06/01/2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	$0	4.00	False	MODIFIED SUBBASE
06/01/1982	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	-	-	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** T02CR (TAXIWAY 02 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/02/2008 **Use:** TAXIWAY **Rank** P **Length:** 94.00 Ft **Width:** 25.00 Ft **True Area:** 2,815.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/02/2008	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	$0	6.00	True	-
06/01/2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	$0	4.00	False	MODIFIED SUBBASE
06/01/1986	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	-	-	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** T03CR (TAXIWAY 03 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/02/2008 **Use:** TAXIWAY **Rank** P **Length:** 910.00 Ft **Width:** 30.00 Ft **True Area:** 30,406.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/02/2008	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PC	$0	6.00	True	-
06/01/2008	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	$0	4.00	False	MODIFIED SUBBASE
06/01/1973	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	-	-	True	-

Network: CJJ **Branch:** T04CR (TAXIWAY 04 AT CRESCO) **Section:** 01 **Surface:** PCC
L.C.D.: 06/01/2018 **Use:** TAXIWAY **Rank** P **Length:** 165.00 Ft **Width:** 32.00 Ft **True Area:** 5,224.00 SqF

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
06/01/2018	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	$0	0.00	True	FIELD EST

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
Base Course - Aggregate	5	48,221.00	4.00	.00
Complete Reconstruction - PCC	5	48,221.00	6.00	.00
Crack Sealing - PCC	2	6,759.00	.00	.00
Joint Seal (Localized)	4	157,297.00	.00	.00
New Construction - AC	1	30,406.00	-	-
New Construction - PCC	10	182,623.00	.00	.00
Patching - PCC Partial Depth	2	150,538.00	.00	.00

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Settlement	Low	Monitor
Settlement	Medium	Grinding
Settlement	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2019 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	$13.66/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	$2.34/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	$34.97/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	$15.62/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	$2.81/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	$2.81/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	$0.34/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	$15.62/sf

Table E-4. 2019 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0–40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	$9.70	$4.59	$4.59	$4.59	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
PCC	$16.19	$7.65	$7.65	$7.65	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00

APPENDIX F

YEAR 2019 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2019 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch[1]	Section[1]	Distress Type[2]	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost[3]	2019 Estimated Cost[3]
A01CR	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	20	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$1,045
A02CR	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	60	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$1,309
A02CR	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	$34.97	$226
A02CR	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	$2.81	$34
A02CR	02	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	$2.81	$23
A02CR	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	24	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$1,128
A02CR	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	$34.97	$452
A02CR	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	$2.81	$25
R15CR	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	14	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$1,133
T01CR	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	30	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$913
T01CR	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	$2.81	$32
T02CR	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	17	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$683
T03CR	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	103	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$5,737
T03CR	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	7	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	$2.81	$280
T04CR	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	75	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	$2.81	$2,785

[1]See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

[2]Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-12. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

[3]The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at the airport.

PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation
Aviation Bureau
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, Iowa 50010
515-239-1691
https://iowadot.gov/aviation

AUGUST 2019