

**Pavement Management Report** 

### PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

**JULY 2023** 







# JAMES H. CONNELL FIELD AT INDEPENDENCE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

# **Prepared For:**



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

# **Prepared By:**



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

### In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	7
Inspection Comments	13
Runway	13
Taxiways	13
Apron	13
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	14
Analysis Parameters	14
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	14
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	14
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	16
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
(PMP)	
SUMMARY	21
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal	
Airport	4
Figure 3. James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport network definition map	5
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	7
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at James H. Connell Field at Independence  Municipal Airport.	
Figure 8. James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport PCI map.	10
rigure 8. James II. Connen riela at macpendence Municipal Amport PCI map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	15
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	19
1	-

Table of Contents July 2023

# **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2023

### INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2022 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2023

The pavement evaluation results for James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the webbased interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the <u>Iowa DOT's website</u> or directly (<u>Iowa APMS IDEA</u>).

Pavement Inventory July 2023

### PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2019.

The pavement network at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.


Approximately 955,200 square feet of pavement were evaluated at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.


Pavement Inventory July 2023

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.



# FIGURE 3. NETWORK DEFINITION MAP.





### **PAVEMENT EVALUATION**

### **Pavement Evaluation Procedure**

APTech inspected the pavements at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)*.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.





PCI = 100

PCI = 59

PCI = 33

Note: Photographs shown are not specific to James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

PCI Range

86-100

71-85

Preventive Maintenance

56-70

41-55

Major Rehabilitation

11-25

Reconstruction

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues. Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphalt-surfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

### **Pavement Evaluation Results**

The pavements at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport were inspected in November 2022. The 2022 area-weighted condition of James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport is 93, with conditions ranging from 79 to 100 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2019, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 88.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.





Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.

(Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01IN	01	PCC	57,600	6/1/2004	86	74	11	15	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
A01IN	02	PCC	13,784	6/1/2008	98	0	77	23	Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch
R18IN	01	PCC	416,830	4/8/2007	95	30	24	46	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
R18IN	02	PCC	166,842	6/3/2008	87	18	37	45	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01IN	01	PCC	26,969	6/3/2007	91	19	20	61	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
T02IN	01	PCC	196,540	6/3/2009	95	0	73	27	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
T03IN	01	PCC	27,052	12/3/2019	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
T04IN	01	PCC	23,424	9/3/2022	100	0	0	0	No distress
TH01IN	01	PCC	13,716	6/1/2004	81	89	8	3	Corner Break, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
TH01IN	02	PCC	8,891	6/1/2007	79	67	28	5	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch
TH01IN	03	PCC	3,560	10/1/2010	93	73	27	0	Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking

### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.

Pavement Evaluation

### Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued).

- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

### **Inspection Comments**

James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport was inspected on November 13, 2022. There were eleven pavement sections defined during the inspection.

### Runway

Runway 18/36 was defined by two sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with areas of low-severity faulting, joint seal damage, and joint spalling noted at the time of inspection. An atypical area of shrinkage cracking and medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. Medium-severity joint spalling, low-severity faulting and corner spalling, and low- and medium-severity joint seal damage were recorded in Section 02. Atypical areas of high-severity corner spalling and medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340-20.

### **Taxiways**

Taxiway 01 contained one section. Areas of low-severity small patching, faulting, and joint seal damage; medium-severity corner break and joint spalling; and shrinkage cracking were observed in Section 01.

Taxiway 02 was defined by one section. Section 01 was in excellent condition with low-severity faulting and low- and medium-severity corner spalling and joint seal damage noted at the time of inspection.

Taxiway 03 consisted of one section. Section 01 was in excellent condition with only low-severity joint seal damage recorded throughout.

Taxiway 04 was defined by one section. Section 01 was in excellent condition with no distress noted at the time of inspection.

### **Apron**

The apron area consisted of two sections. Section 01 contained areas of low- and medium-severity joint spalling, LTD cracking, and corner break; low-severity small patching, faulting, and joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking. Section 02 was in excellent condition with low-severity joint seal damage and small patching identified during the inspection.

### T-Hangar

The T-hangar area was defined by three sections. Low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and low-severity corner break, joint seal damage, and joint spalling were observed in Section 01. Section 02 contained areas of low-severity corner break, small patching, and LTD cracking; low-and medium-severity joint seal damage; medium-severity shattered slab; and shrinkage cracking. Low-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking were observed in Section 03.

### PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

### **Analysis Parameters**

### Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

### Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.

### Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

### Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2023 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

### **Analysis Approach**

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2023) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2024 or 2025, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2023. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2023 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As

the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

### **Analysis Results**

A summary of the M&R program for James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2023 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2023	A01IN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$4,526
2023	R18IN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$42
2023	R18IN	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$27,568
2023	T01IN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$2,362
2023	T02IN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$10,524
2023	TH01IN	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$211
2023	TH01IN	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$3,903

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

**Total Estimated Cost: \$50,000** 

### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

### **General Maintenance Recommendations**

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

### FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

## FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

**A-1.0.** An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

### **A-1.1. Pavement Inventory.** The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

- **A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:
  - a. Inspection date
  - b. Location
  - c. Distress types
  - d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

**A-1.4. Information Retrieval.** An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01IN	01					
A01IN	02					
R18IN	01					
R18IN	02					
T01IN	01					
T02IN	01					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T03IN	01					
T04IN	01					
TH01IN	01					
TH01IN	02					
TH01IN	03					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2023

### **SUMMARY**

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2022 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 93. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$50,000 needs to be expended on M&R. James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

# APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

# APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

# A01IN-01. Overview.



A01IN-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 08).



A01IN-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 17).



A01IN-02. Overview.



A01IN-02. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 03).



R18IN-01. Overview.



R18IN-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 22).



R18IN-01. LTD Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 055).



R18IN-02. Overview.



R18IN-02. Corner Break (Additional Sample Unit No. 22).



R18IN-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 03).



R18IN-02. LTD Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 24).



T01IN-01. Overview.



T01IN-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 09).



T02IN-01. Overview.




T02IN-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 70).



T03IN-01. Overview.



T03IN-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 12).



T04IN-01. Overview.



TH01IN-01. Overview.



TH01IN-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



TH01IN-02. Overview.



TH01IN-02. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01IN-03. Overview.



TH01IN-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



# APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Pavement Database. IA 2022			Generale Date. 0/14/2025
Network ID: IIB			Page 1
	Branch - Sect	ion ID: A01IN - 001	
Branch Name: APRON			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/2004 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 57,600.00 Length (ft): 300.00 Width (ft): 192.00 From: To: TAXIWAY 01 SECT 02 Slabs: 408		PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCE_Enhanced  Section Comments:	
Slab Length (ft): 11.90 Slab Width (ft): 11.88 Joint Length (ft): 9,198.86 Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022 PCI: 86 Total Samples: 20 Surveyed: 7		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	16.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	Sample Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08	ь	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M L L M	3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 11			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 14			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 86 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	

62 CORNER BREAK L 1.00 Slabs 62 CORNER BREAK Μ 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022

Network ID: IIB

Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Page 2

Sample Number: 16			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 76			
Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00			
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	

#### Sample Number: 17

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 3

Branch - Section ID: A01IN - 002

Branch Name: APRON Use: APRON

LCD: 6/1/2008 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 13,784.00

Length (ft): 270.00 Width (ft): 42.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 109 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.50 Joint Length (ft): 2,082.19

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4

Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCE Enhanced

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 2.00 Slabs

1

L

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Comments:

20.00 Slabs

16.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Comments:

L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 4

Branch - Section ID: R18IN - 001	
----------------------------------	--

PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNCE Enhanced

Branch Name: RUNWAY 18/36 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 4/8/2007 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 416,830.00

Length (ft): 4,000.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: RUNWAY END 18 To: RUNWAY END 36

Slabs: 2,348 Section Comments: slabs vary on runway-drawn on map 14.23' (avg

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 14.20 length)

Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 58,428.12

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 95

Total Samples: 124 Surveyed: 13

otal Samples: 124

Sample Number: 022

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 023

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 038

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 039

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 054

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Network ID: IIB Page 5 Sample Number: 055 Sample Type: A Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 77 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING 1.00 Slabs M 20.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 71 FAULTING 4.00 Slabs L 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 056 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 070 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING ı 2.00 Slabs Sample Number: 071 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 086 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 087 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 102 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 103 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs L

4.00 Slabs

71 FAULTING

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 6

Network ID: IIB			Page o
Branch Name: RUNWAY 18/36	Branch - Section ID:	R18IN - 002	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/3/2008 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 166,842.00 Length (ft): 1,500.00 Width (ft): 100.00 From: . To: .	PCI Famil	ly: IowaPCCRWNCE_Enhanced	
Slabs: 890 Slab Length (ft): 15.00 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 22,690.51	Section C	omments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022 PCI: 87 Total Samples: 51 Surveyed: 10	Inspection	n Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Sample C L	comments: 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03	<del>_</del>	20.00 0.000	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 81 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample C	comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 75 CORNER SPALL	L L L	20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 08			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample C	comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 75 CORNER SPALL	L L L	20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 09			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample C	comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L M L	20.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022		Ge	enerate Date: 6/14/2023
Network ID: IIB			Page 7
Sample Number: 16			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 17			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 87 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 22			
Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 24			
Sample Type: A Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 32			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 33			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 91 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 00 DNED 0DALL	-	1.00 01.1	

75 CORNER SPALL

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

letwork ID: IIB Page 8							
	Branch - Section	D: T01IN - 001					
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWAY				
LCD: 6/3/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 26,969.00 Length (ft): 642.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: APRON 01 SECT 03 To: RUNWAY 17/35	PCI F	amily: lowaPCCTWNCE_Enhanced					
Slabs: 342 Slab Length (ft): 9.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 5,266.18	Section	on Comments:					
Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022 PCI: 91 Total Samples: 15 Surveyed: 6	Inspe	ction Comments:					
Sample Number: 03							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Samp L	le Comments: 20.00 Slabs					
Sample Number: 04							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Samp L	le Comments: 20.00 Slabs					
Sample Number: 05							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 94 Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING	Samp L L	le Comments:  22.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs					
Sample Number: 09	L	1.00 Slabs					
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 83 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING	Samp L L	le Comments:  16.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs					
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs					
Sample Number: 11							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00	Samp	le Comments:					

Ν

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH

73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING

71 FAULTING

21.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

3.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 9

Sample Number: 13

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 89

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

62 CORNER BREAK M 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 19.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 10

Branch - Section ID: T02IN - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2009 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 196,540.00

Length (ft): 5,350.00 Width (ft): 35.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 2.246

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 36,463.55

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 95

Total Samples: 111 Surveyed: 12

Sample Number: 001

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 010

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 020

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 030 Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 040

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 050

Sample Type: R

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

Sample PCI: 98

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCE Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

20.00 Slabs

Т

L

L

L

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

20.00 Slabs

20.00 Slabs

20.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

20.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Network ID: IIB Page 11 Sample Number: 060 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs Sample Number: 070 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 84 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs L 71 FAULTING L 3.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 080 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 090 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 98 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs Sample Number: 100 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 92 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 20.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L **75 CORNER SPALL** L 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 110

Sample Comments:

20.00 Slabs

L

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 12

Branch - Section ID: T03IN - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 03 Use: TAXIWAY

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCE Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

LCD: 12/3/2019 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 27,052.00

Length (ft): 600.00 Width (ft): 40.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 311 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 8.80 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 5,114.18

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 98

Total Samples: 15 Surveyed: 6

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 23.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 10

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 26.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 13

Branch - Section ID: T04IN - 001

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 04 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 9/3/2022

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 23,424.00

Length (ft): 347.00 Width (ft): 69.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 189 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.50 Joint Length (ft): 3,846.00

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 100 Total Samples: 10 Surveyed: 5 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCE Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00 NO DISTRESS Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00 NO DISTRESS Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 100

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

NO DISTRESS

Sample Comments:

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB

Branch - Section ID: TH01IN - 001

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01

Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 6/1/2004

PCI Family: lowaPCCTH NE NCE

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 13,716.00

Length (ft): 686.00 Width (ft): 20.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 114 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,808.81

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 81 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 77

Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 L
 5.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 L
 22.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 66

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 62 CORNER BREAK
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 L
 9.00 Slabs

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 M
 2.00 Slabs

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 L
 24.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 94

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

62 CORNER BREAK L 1.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 15

			9
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Secti	on ID: TH01IN - 002	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 6/1/2007 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 8,891.00 Length (ft): 343.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: .		PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NE NCE	
Slabs: 99 Slab Length (ft): 9.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,406.52		Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022 PCI: 79 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	3.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH	M L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 74 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	20.00 Slabs	
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 27.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	3.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	27.00 Slabs	

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 16

Branch - Section ID: TH01IN - 003

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

LCD: 10/1/2010 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 3,560.00

Length (ft): 180.00 Width (ft): 20.00

From: .

Slabs: 35 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.10 Joint Length (ft): 510.70

Last Insp Date: 11/13/2022

PCI: 93 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NE NCE

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

Sample Comments:

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 15.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING L 2.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

# APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

#### **WORK HISTORY**

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 1

#### **Network: JAMES H CONNELL FIELD AT INDEPENDENCE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT**

Branch - Section ID: A01IN - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 300.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 192.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 57,600.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	RANDOM CRACK ROUTE & SEAL
06-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT SEALING
06-01-2020	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	PCC SMALL PATCHING
06-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	FULL-DEPTH SLAB REPLACEMENT
06-01-2004	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
03-01-1987	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01IN - 002

 LCD: 6/1/2008
 Length (ft):
 270.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 42.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,784.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2020	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2008	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### Branch - Section ID: R18IN - 001

 LCD: 4/8/2007
 Length (ft):
 4,000.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 416,830.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
04-08-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	8" P-501
04-07-2007	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154
04-06-2007	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" P-155
11-02-1984	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501
11-01-1984	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" Recycled Base
06-02-1969	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0.00	1.00	True	1" P-401
06-01-1969	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	2.00	False	2" P-201
06-02-1967	BA-BI	Base Course - Bituminous	\$0.00	2.00	False	2" P-201
06-01-1967	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" P-154

#### Branch - Section ID: R18IN - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2008
 Length (ft):
 1,500.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 166,842.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2008	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	-
06-02-2008	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	7.00	False	-
06-01-2008	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	-

#### **WORK HISTORY**

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 2

Branch - Section ID: T01IN - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2007
 Length (ft):
 642.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 26,969.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments	
09-03-2022	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST	
06-03-2007	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	8" P-501 PCC	
06-02-2007	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154 Subbase	
06-01-2007	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" Fly Ash Treated SG	
08-01-1990	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-	

#### Branch - Section ID: T02IN - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2009
 Length (ft):
 5,350.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 196,540.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2009	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	8.00	True	-
06-02-2009	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	7.00	False	-
06-01-2009	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	FLY ASH TREATED

#### Branch - Section ID: T03IN - 001

 LCD: 12/3/2019
 Length (ft):
 600.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 27,052.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
12-03-2019	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC PAVEMENT
12-02-2019	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-209 AGG BASE COURSE
12-01-2019	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" SUBGRADE PREPARATION WITH ENGINEERING FABRIC ON TOP

#### Branch - Section ID: T04IN - 001

 LCD: 9/3/2022
 Length (ft): 347.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft): 69.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf): 23,424.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
09-03-2022	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC PAVEMENT
09-02-2022	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MODIFIED SUBBASE
09-01-2022	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	0.00	False	SUBGRADE PREPARATION

#### **WORK HISTORY**

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: IIB Page 3

Branch - Section ID: TH01IN - 001

 LCD: 6/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 686.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,716.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	RANDOM CRACK ROUTE & SEAL
06-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	FULL-DEPTH SLAB REPLACEMENT
06-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT ROUTE & SEAL
06-01-2004	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### Branch - Section ID: TH01IN - 002

 LCD: 6/1/2007
 Length (ft):
 343.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 8,891.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT RESEAL
06-01-2020	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	FULL-DEPTH SLAB REPLACEMENT
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	RANDOM CRACK ROUTE & SEAL
06-01-2007	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### Branch - Section ID: TH01IN - 003

 LCD: 10/1/2010
 Length (ft):
 180.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 3,560.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2020	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	RANDOM CRACK ROUTE & SEAL
06-01-2020	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	JOINT ROUTE & SEAL
10-01-2010	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

#### **APPENDIX E**

## LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distussa Tyma	Severity	Maintananaa Aatian
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

	Severity	
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2023 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.24/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.61/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.04/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.37/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2023 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.82	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.08	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

# APPENDIX F YEAR 2023 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
A01IN	01	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$75
A01IN	01	Corner Break	Medium	6	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$3,281
A01IN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$735
A01IN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	12	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$435
R18IN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$42
R18IN	02	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$563
R18IN	02	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$105
R18IN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	319	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$25,517
R18IN	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	5	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$1,339
R18IN	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$43
T01IN	01	Corner Break	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$1,631
T01IN	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$731
T02IN	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	9	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$983
T02IN	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	187	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$9,541
TH01IN	01	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$70
TH01IN	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	4	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$141
TH01IN	02	Corner Break	Low	10	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$266
TH01IN	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	30	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$1,318
TH01IN	02	Shattered Slab	Medium	1	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$17.43	\$2,318

Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

#### Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

#### Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at James H. Connell Field at Independence Municipal Airport.



#### PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

**JULY 2023**