Sheldon Regional Airport

Pavement Management Report



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2023







SHELDON REGIONAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	6
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	6
Pavement Evaluation Results	7
Inspection Comments	13
Runway	13
Taxiways	13
Apron	13
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	15
Analysis Parameters	15
Critical PCIs	15
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	15
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	15
Budget and Inflation Rate	15
Analysis Approach	15
Analysis Results	16
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	17
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
(PMP)	18
SUMMARY	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair. Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Sheldon Regional Airport. Figure 3. Sheldon Regional Airport network definition map. Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces. Figure 5. PCI versus repair type. Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Sheldon Regional Airport. Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Sheldon Regional Airport. Figure 8. Sheldon Regional Airport PCI map.	
LIST OF TABLES Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results	11 16
1 aoic 5. 1 a verment mopeonon report	∠0

Table of Contents July 2023

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A- 1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2023

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Sheldon Regional Airport were assessed in November 2022 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2023

The pavement evaluation results for Sheldon Regional Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Sheldon Regional Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2023

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Sheldon Regional Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 2019.


The pavement network at Sheldon Regional Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 450,300 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Sheldon Regional Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Sheldon Regional Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2023







PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Sheldon Regional Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)*.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.







Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Sheldon Regional Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 0-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or
 environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.


 Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced
 pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is
 durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphalt-surfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Sheldon Regional Airport were inspected in November 2022. The 2022 area-weighted condition of Sheldon Regional Airport is 56, with conditions ranging from 3 to 89 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2019, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 92.


Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Sheldon Regional Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.



Pavement Condition Index (PCI)

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Sheldon Regional Airport.

Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Sheldon Regional Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01SD	01	PCC	30,939	6/1/1980	55	60	17	23	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Small Patch
A01SD	02	PCC	25,082	6/1/2004	65	61	31	8	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Small Patch
A01SD	03	PCC	4,996	6/3/2009	20	79	10	11	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
A01SD	04	PCC	13,245	6/3/2013	89	34	49	17	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
R15SD	01	PCC	114,791	6/1/1980	58	27	14	59	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R15SD	02	PCC	35,000	6/1/1980	51	6	15	79	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R15SD	03	PCC	35,000	6/1/1980	57	15	24	61	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Small Patch
R15SD	04	PCC	140,000	4/22/1991	59	43	20	37	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Durability Cracking, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Small Patch

Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued).

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
T01SD	01	PCC	11,136	6/1/1980	73	41	43	16	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch, LTD Cracking
T02SD	01	PCC	10,572	6/1/1980	48	63	14	23	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
TH01SD	01	APC	17,540	1/1/1998	15	26	68	6	Alligator Cracking, Joint Reflection Cracking, L&T Cracking, Patching, Swelling, Weathering
TH01SD	02	PCC	7,800	1/1/2000	59	58	21	21	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shrinkage Cracking
TH01SD	03	PCC	4,200	6/1/1994	3	74	8	18	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.
- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Sheldon Regional Airport was inspected on November 20, 2022. There were thirteen pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 15/33 was defined by four sections. Section 01 contained areas of low- and medium-severity small patching; joint seal damage; corner break; and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking. It also had areas of all severities of corner spalling and joint spalling as well as low-severity large patching. Areas of medium-severity LTD cracking and corner break, all severities of corner spalling and joint spalling, low- and medium-severity faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, and low-severity large patching and small patching were observed in Section 02. Areas of low- and medium-severity LTD cracking, corner spalling, and faulting; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; low-severity small patching; and medium-severity joint spalling were recorded in Section 03. Medium- and high-severity corner break; all severities of LTD cracking, corner spalling, and joint spalling; low-severity faulting and durability cracking; low- and medium-severity small patching, joint seal damage, and large patching; medium-severity shattered slab; and shrinkage cracking were identified in Section 04. An atypical area of medium- and high-severity durability cracking was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340-20.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 consisted of one section. Section 01 contained areas of medium- and high-severity joint seal damage and low- and medium-severity joint spalling, large patching, LTD cracking, corner break, and corner spalling.

Taxiway 02 contained one section. Medium-severity corner break, joint spalling, and shattered slab; medium- and high-severity corner spalling and LTD cracking; low-severity faulting; and high-severity joint seal damage was observed in Section 01.

Apron

The apron area was defined by four sections. Section 01 contained medium-severity shattered slab, joint spalling, and corner break; all severities of LTD cracking and corner spalling; low-severity faulting; high-severity joint seal damage; and low- and medium-severity small patching. Low- and medium-severity corner break, LTD cracking, and corner spalling; low-severity small patching and faulting; medium- and high-severity joint seal damage; and medium-severity joint spalling and shattered slab were recorded in Section 02. Section 03 was in poor condition with high-severity joint seal damage and low- and medium-severity corner break, faulting, LTD cracking, and shattered slab noted at the time of inspection. Section 04 contained areas of low- and medium-severity corner break and joint seal damage, medium-severity LTD cracking and corner spalling, low-severity joint spalling, and shrinkage cracking.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area consisted of three sections. Section 01 was in poor condition with medium-severity alligator cracking and joint reflection cracking, low-severity L&T cracking, low- and medium-severity patching and swelling, and high-severity weathering observed during the inspection. The low-severity L&T cracking in Section 01 was unsealed, and the medium-severity joint reflection cracking was due to either the development of secondary cracking or unsealed crack widths that exceeded ½ in. Section 02 contained areas of medium-severity joint spalling

and corner spalling, low- and medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking, shrinkage cracking, low-severity faulting, and high-severity joint seal damage. Section 03 was in poor condition with low-severity faulting, high-severity joint seal damage, medium-severity LTD cracking, and medium- and high-severity shattered slab noted at the time of inspection.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Sheldon Regional Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sheldon Regional Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2023 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2023) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2024 or 2025, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2023. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Sheldon Regional Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2023 localized

preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Sheldon Regional Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2023 is provided in Appendix F.

			G 4		
Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
	1				1
2023	A01SD	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$264,524
2023	A01SD	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$214,448
2023	A01SD	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$90,327
2023	A01SD	04	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$2,738
2023	R15SD	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$981,448
2023	R15SD	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$302,247
2023	R15SD	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$299,245
2023	R15SD	04	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,196,981
2023	T01SD	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$8,853
2023	T02SD	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$124,040
2023	TH01SD	01	APC	Major Rehabilitation	\$189,790
2023	TH01SD	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$6,975
2023	TH01SD	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$75,935
2027	TH01SD	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$78,018

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$3,836,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sheldon Regional Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Sheldon Regional Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Sheldon Regional Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be

available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Sheldon Regional Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Sheldon Regional Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Sheldon Regional Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with

instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Sheldon Regional Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Sheldon Regional Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Sheldon Regional Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

- **A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:
 - a. Inspection date
 - b. Location
 - c. Distress types
 - d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01SD	01					
A01SD	02					
A01SD	03					
A01SD	04					
R15SD	01					
R15SD	02					

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
R15SD	03					
R15SD	04					
T01SD	01					
T02SD	01					
TH01SD	01					
TH01SD	02					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
TH01SD	03					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2023

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Sheldon Regional Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2022 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 56. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Sheldon Regional Airport, which revealed that approximately \$3,836,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Sheldon Regional Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01SD-01. Overview.



A01SD-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 07).



A01SD-02. Overview.



A01SD-02. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 03).



A01SD-03. Overview.



A01SD-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



A01SD-04. Overview.



A01SD-04. Corner Break (Sample Unit No. 02).



R15SD-01. Overview.



R15SD-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 16).



R15SD-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 10).



R15SD-02. Overview.



R15SD-02. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 04).



R15SD-02. Small Patching (Sample Unit No. 04).



R15SD-03. Overview.



R15SD-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



R15SD-04. Overview.



R15SD-04. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 36).



R15SD-04. Durability Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 06) (1).



R15SD-04. Durability Cracking (Additional Sample Unit No. 06) (2).



R15SD-04. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 21).



T01SD-01. Overview.



T01SD-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 05).



T02SD-01. Overview.



T02SD-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).



T02SD-01. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01SD-01. Overview.



TH01SD-01. Alligator Cracking (Sample Unit No. 04).



TH01SD-02. Overview.




TH01SD-02. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



TH01SD-03. Overview.



TH01SD-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 01).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 1

Network ID: SHL			Page 1
	Branch - Section	1D: A01SD - 001	
Branch Name: APRON 01			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/1980 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 30,939.00 Length (ft): 408.00 Width (ft): 62.00 From: EAST EDGE OF APRON To: EAST EDGE OF SECTIONS 2	P	CI Family: lowaPCCAPNCW_General	
Slabs: 248 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 4,994.17	Se	ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 55 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6	ln:	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 48 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M H L M L M M H L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 003			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 80 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Sá M H	ample Comments: 1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 005			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 62 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	4.00 Slabs	

Н

Μ

20.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Network ID: SHL Page 2 Sample Number: 007 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 58 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00 4.00 Slabs **63 LINEAR CRACKING** Μ 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Н 16.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 3.00 Slabs Sample Number: 010 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 40 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 **63 LINEAR CRACKING** Μ 4.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Н 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs Μ 1.00 Slabs 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 5.00 Slabs Sample Number: 011

Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:
----------------	------------------

Sample PCI: 45

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING	Н	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 3

Network ID: SHL			Page 3
	Branch - Section	on ID: A01SD - 002	
Branch Name: APRON 01			Use: APRON
LCD: 6/1/2004 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 25,082.00 Length (ft): 365.00 Width (ft): 67.00 From: SOUTH EDGE OF APRON To: MAINTENANCE HANGER	1	PCI Family: lowaPCCAPNCW_General	
Slabs: 241 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 4,398.28	•	Section Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 65 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6	1	nspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 30.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	L M H M	2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 30.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03		2.00 6.026	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 66 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	,	Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING	L M M H L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 46 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	,	Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M M M H	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	

M

Μ

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

72 SHATTERED SLAB

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 4

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 21.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 52

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

62 CORNER BREAK M 2.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 3.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 15.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL M 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 60

Sample Area (Slabs): 13.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING L 2.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 3.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 13.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 5

Branch	- Section	ID· V	01SD_	በበ3
DIAIICII	- 366611011	ID. A	บเจษ -	บบอ

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

LCD: 6/3/2009

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 4,996.00 Length (ft): 110.00 Width (ft): 50.00

From: SOUTH EDGE OF APRON To: MAINTENANCE HANGER

Slabs: 44 Section Comments: avg

Slab Length (ft): 9.70 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 739.68

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 20 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 2 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCW General

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 17

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	5.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	18.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	5.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	1.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	5.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 23

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs
62 CORNER BREAK	L	2.00 Slabs
62 CORNER BREAK	M	3.00 Slabs
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	8.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	26.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	M	1.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	3.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 6

Network ID: SHL			Page 6
Propeh Names APPON 04	Branch - Section	on ID: A01SD - 004	Llee: ADDON
Branch Name: APRON 01 LCD: 6/3/2013 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 13,245.00 Length (ft): 250.00 Width (ft): 45.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP		PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCW_General	Use: APRON
Slabs: 118 Slab Length (ft): 11.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 2,143.73		Section Comments: SLAB SIZE VARIES	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 89 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4		Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 01			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 89 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	Sample Comments: 1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 02 Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00 62 CORNER BREAK 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL	L L M	Sample Comments: 1.00 Slabs 21.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 97 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	L N	20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 79 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M M	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs	

L

2.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 7

D la	0 4!	ID. DAFOD	004
Brancn	- Section	ID: R15SD	- 001

Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33

Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/1/1980

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 114,791.00

Length (ft): 1,425.00 Width (ft): 84.00

From: SOUTH END OF RUNWAY To: SOUTH END OF SECTION 4

Slabs: 600

Slab Length (ft): 15.30 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 15,238.85

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 58

Total Samples: 27 Surveyed: 7 **Section Comments:**

PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNCW General

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Number: 001

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 M
 24.00 Slabs

 66 SMALL PATCH
 L
 3.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 L
 4.00 Slabs

 75 CORNER SPALL
 M
 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 004

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 61

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	3.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 8

NOTWORK ID. OF IE			i ago
Sample Number: 007			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 59			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 010			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 56			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 013			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 48			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	M	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	4.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	

Μ

3.00 Slabs

4.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 9

Sample Number: 016			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 62 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample (Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	5.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	4.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	

Sample Number: 019

Sample Type: R	Sample Comments:
----------------	------------------

Sample PCI: 43

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	6.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	M	4.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	8.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	2.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 10

Dranah	Castian	ID. DAECD	000
- Dranch	- Secuon	ID: R15SD) - UUZ

Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33

Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/1/1980

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 35,000.00 Length (ft): 2,800.00 Width (ft): 12.50

From: N END OF SECTION 1 To: NORTH END OF RWY EAST

Slabs: 514

Slab Length (ft): 10.80 Slab Width (ft): 6.30 Joint Length (ft): 5,983.80

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 51

Total Samples: 23 Surveyed: 7 Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNCW General

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 004

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 42

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOIN	NT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs
66 SMA	ALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
66 SMA	ALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
67 LAR	GE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
71 FAU	LTING	L	7.00 Slabs
74 JOIN	NT SPALL	Н	1.00 Slabs
74 JOIN	NT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 COR	RNER SPALL	Н	5.00 Slabs
75 COR	RNER SPALL	Н	2.00 Slabs
75 COR	RNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 COR	RNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 COR	RNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 COR	RNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 008

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 49

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

•		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	5.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	M	3.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	Н	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Network ID: SHL Page 11 Sample Number: 012 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 54 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 **62 CORNER BREAK** 1.00 Slabs M 24.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Н 71 FAULTING 8.00 Slabs L 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Η 75 CORNER SPALL L 3.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ 5.00 Slabs Sample Number: 016 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 33 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING 1.00 Slabs Μ 24.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Н 66 SMALL PATCH L 8.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 9.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL 1.00 Slabs Μ 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs Н 9.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL** L 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 10.00 Slabs Sample Number: 018 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 50 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 24.00 Slabs Н 66 SMALL PATCH L 5.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 4.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Н 1.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 2.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 11.00 Slabs Sample Number: 020 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 47 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING Μ 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Н 24.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 1.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 4.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING Μ 4.00 Slabs

Μ

Μ

2.00 Slabs

8.00 Slabs

74 JOINT SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 12

Sample Number: 023

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 76

Sample Area (Slabs): 28.00

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 H
 28.00 Slabs

 66 SMALL PATCH
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 71 FAULTING
 L
 6.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 L
 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 13

Branch - Section ID: R15SD - 003 Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33	
	Use: RUNWAY
LCD: 6/1/1980 PCI Family: lowaPCCRWNCW_General Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 35,000.00 Length (ft): 2,800.00 Width (ft): 12.50 From: N END OF SECTION 1 To: N END OF RWY WEST	
Slabs: 514 Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): 10.80 Slab Width (ft): 6.30 Joint Length (ft): 5,983.80	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 Inspection Comments: PCI: 57 Total Samples: 23 Surveyed: 7	
Sample Number: 004	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 49 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	
63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 2.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 24.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 5.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 6.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL M 3.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 2.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL M 6.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 008	
Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 44 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 24.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 1.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING M 12.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL M 11.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 012	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 58 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 24.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 5.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING M 1.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL M 2.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 2.00 Slabs	

8.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022			Generate Date: 6/14/2023
Network ID: SHL			Page 14
Sample Number: 016			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 55 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH 71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	H L L M L	24.00 Slabs 10.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 8.00 Slabs 9.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 018	IVI	9.00 Slabs	
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 67 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH	M L	Comments: 24.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M L M	5.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 020			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 61 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L M M	2.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 022			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 73 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sample	Comments:	

М

M

L

16.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

71 FAULTING

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 15

Branch -	Section.	ID· B	215SD -	. 004
Dianti.	. 26661011	ID. D	เเบอบ -	. 004

Branch Name: RUNWAY 15/33 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 4/22/1991

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 140,000.00

Length (ft): 2,800.00 Width (ft): 50.00

From: N END OF SECTION 1 To: N END OF RWY CENTER

Slabs: 1.047

Slab Length (ft): 10.70 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 21,434.11

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 59

Total Samples: 46 Surveyed: 9 Section Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNCW General

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 40

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

62 CORNER BREAK	Н	1.00 Slabs
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs
64 DURABILITY CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs
67 LARGE PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 003

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs
66 SMALL PATCH	M	1.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs
75 CORNER SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023 Network ID: SHL Page 16 Sample Number: 006 Sample Type: A Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 11 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING 14.00 Slabs M 3.00 Slabs 64 DURABILITY CRACKING Н 64 DURABILITY CRACKING 4.00 Slabs L 64 DURABILITY CRACKING M 5.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 24.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 4.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH Μ 3.00 Slabs 67 LARGE PATCH Μ 2.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 011 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 50 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING Μ 6.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 24.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING 4.00 Slabs AT 63 L 74 JOINT SPALL 2.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** L 1.00 Slabs **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 016 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 68 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 63 LINEAR CRACKING Н 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING 1.00 Slabs L 63 LINEAR CRACKING 1.00 Slabs M 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs Μ 74 JOINT SPALL **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ 2.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs Sample Number: 021 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 36 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00 **62 CORNER BREAK** 1.00 Slabs M 63 LINEAR CRACKING Н 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING 1.00 Slabs M 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 24.00 Slabs Μ 1.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH Μ **67 LARGE PATCH** L 1.00 Slabs 67 LARGE PATCH 1.00 Slabs L 74 JOINT SPALL Н 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 75 CORNER SPALL 2.00 Slabs Н

L

Μ

2.00 Slabs

6.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL			Page 17
Sample Number: 026			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 73			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	3.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 036			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 67			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	
71 FAULTING	L.	4.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALL	M	12.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 041			
Sample Type: R	Sample	Comments:	
Sample PCI: 66			
Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	

L

1.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL			Page 18
	Branch - Section ID:	T01SD - 001	
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/1980 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 11,136.00 Length (ft): 270.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY To: APRON	PCI Fam	nily: lowaPCCTWNCW_General	
Slabs: 111 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,907.56	Section	Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 73 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 4	Inspection	on Comments:	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Sample H	Comments: 20.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 57 Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 67 LARGE PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH	M M H L M	1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 05			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 65 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sample	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL 74 JOINT SPALL	L M H L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 06			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 85 Sample Area (Slabs): 16.00	Sample	Comments:	

L

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALL

75 CORNER SPALL

16.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 19

Network ID: SHL			Page 19
Prench Name: TAYIMAY 02	Branch - Section II	D: T02SD - 001	Hoor TAVIMAN
Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02			Use: TAXIWAY
LCD: 6/1/1980 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 10,572.00 Length (ft): 215.00 Width (ft): 40.00 From: RUNWAY To: APRON	PCI F	ramily: lowaPCCTWNCW_General	
Slabs: 72 Slab Length (ft): 12.30 Slab Width (ft): 12.20 Joint Length (ft): 1,446.67	Section	on Comments: avg	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 48 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 3	Inspe	ction Comments:	
Sample Number: 001			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 70 Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00	Samp	ole Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 75 CORNER SPALL	H L M M	26.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 002			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 54 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Samp	ole Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	H H L M M	1.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 003			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 22 Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00	Samp	ole Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 72 SHATTERED SLAB 74 JOINT SPALL	M M H M	5.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 26.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	

Н

5.00 Slabs

2.00 Slabs

75 CORNER SPALL

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 20

Branch - Section ID: TH01SD - 001 Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR LCD: 1/1/1998 PCI Family: IowaASPHALTTHNorthern Surface Type: APC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 17,540.00 Length (ft): 877.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: . Slabs: Section Comments: Slab Length (ft): Slab Width (ft): Joint Length (ft): Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 Inspection Comments: PCI: 15 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3 Sample Number: 02 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 19 Sample Area (SF): 4,200.00 41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING Μ 45.00 SF W, VEG 47 JOINT REFLECTION CRACKING Μ 500.00 Ft 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 220.00 Ft LU 56 SWELLING Μ 10.00 SF 57 WEATHERING Н 4,200.00 SF Sample Number: 03 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 18 Sample Area (SF): 4,065.00 41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING M 120.00 SF W, VEG 47 JOINT REFLECTION CRACKING M 380.00 Ft 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING LU L 210.00 Ft 57 WEATHERING Н 4,065.00 SF Sample Number: 04 Sample Type: R Sample Comments: Sample PCI: 9 Sample Area (SF): 4,405.00 41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING 500.00 SF Μ 47 JOINT REFLECTION CRACKING 475.00 Ft W, 2NDY, VEG M 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 180.00 Ft L LU **PCC PATCH 50 PATCHING** L 385.00 SF **50 PATCHING** M 20.00 SF **PCC PATCH**

L

Η

8.00 SF

4,000.00 SF

56 SWELLING

57 WEATHERING

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 21

Network ID. Still			1 age 21
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01	Branch - Section	ID: TH01SD - 002	Use: T-HANGAR
LCD: 1/1/2000 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 7,800.00 Length (ft): 390.00 Width (ft): 20.00 From: . To: .	P	CI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW	
Slabs: 78 Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 10.00 Joint Length (ft): 1,150.00		ection Comments:	
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 59 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 3	In	spection Comments:	
Sample Number: 02			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 62 Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALL	L M M M H N	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 03			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 59 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 71 FAULTING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 75 CORNER SPALL 75 CORNER SPALL	M M H L N M	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 04			
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 57 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00	Sa	ample Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	L M H N	1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 20.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	

Μ

1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 22

Branch - Section ID: TH01SD - 003

Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01 Use: T-HANGAR

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

LCD: 6/1/1994

Section Area (sf): 4,200.00

Length (ft): 210.00 Width (ft): 20.00

From: .

Slabs: 11 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 20.00 Slab Width (ft): 20.00 Joint Length (ft): 190.00

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 3

Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTH NC NCW

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 3

Sample Area (Slabs): 11.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	9.00 Slabs
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	11.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING	L	6.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	Н	1.00 Slabs
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 1

Network: SHELDON REGIONAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01SD - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1980
 Length (ft):
 408.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 62.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 30,939.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-1980	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

Branch - Section ID: A01SD - 002

 LCD: 6/1/2004
 Length (ft):
 365.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 67.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 25,082.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2004	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-
06-01-1998	OL-AS	Overlay - AC Structural	\$0.00	0.00	True	Assumed-please make sure
06-30-1963	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01SD - 003

 LCD: 6/3/2009
 Length (ft):
 110.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 4,996.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2009	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	FAA SPEC P-505 (REINFORCED)
06-02-2009	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	IDOT SPEC 2115
06-01-2009	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	12.00	False	FAA SPEC P-152
06-01-2004	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01SD - 004

 LCD: 6/3/2013
 Length (ft):
 250.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 45.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,245.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2013	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC (P-505)
06-02-2013	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR SUBBASE (IOWA DOT SPEC 2111)
06-01-2013	SG-ST	Subgrade - Stabilized	\$0.00	12.00	False	12" FLY-ASH TREATED SUBGRADE P-158

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R15SD - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1980
 Length (ft):
 1,425.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 84.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 114,791.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2013	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1980	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R15SD - 002

 LCD: 6/1/1980
 Length (ft):
 2,800.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 12.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 35,000.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2013	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1980	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R15SD - 003

 LCD: 6/1/1980
 Length (ft):
 2,800.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 12.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 35,000.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2013	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1980	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: R15SD - 004

 LCD: 4/22/1991
 Length (ft):
 2,800.00

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 50.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 140,000.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-2022	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	est
06-01-2013	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
04-22-1991	CR-PC	Complete Reconstruction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" P-501 PCC
04-21-1991	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-208 CAB
06-01-1963	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/25/2023

Network ID: SHL Page 3

Branch - Section ID: T01SD - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1980
 Length (ft):
 270.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 11,136.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2018	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	EST
06-01-2013	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-2013	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	False	-
06-01-1980	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: T02SD - 001

 LCD: 6/1/1980
 Length (ft):
 215.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 40.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 10,572.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-1980	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: TH01SD - 001

 LCD: 1/1/1998
 Length (ft):
 877.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 17,540.00

Surface: APC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-1998	OL-AC	Overlay - AC	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST
06-02-1963	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
06-01-1963	SB-AG	Subbase - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" P-154 Subbase

Branch - Section ID: TH01SD - 002

 LCD: 1/1/2000
 Length (ft):
 390.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 7,800.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
01-01-2000	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST, BETWEEN 1994 AND 2004 (BACKCALCULATED)

Branch - Section ID: TH01SD - 003

 LCD: 6/1/1994
 Length (ft):
 210.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 20.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 4,200.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-1994	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST, BETWEEN 1994 AND 2004

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distussa Tymo	Severity	Maintananaa Aatian
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Faulting	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2023 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.24/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.61/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.04/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.37/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2023 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.82	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.08	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F YEAR 2023 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
A01SD	04	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$36
A01SD	04	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$781
A01SD	04	Corner Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$292
A01SD	04	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	28	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$1,584
A01SD	04	LTD Cracking	Medium	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$46
T01SD	01	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$38
T01SD	01	Corner Break	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$822
T01SD	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$153
T01SD	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	23	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$1,261
T01SD	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	88	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$4,729
T01SD	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$368
T01SD	01	Large Patch	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$1,253
T01SD	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	7	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$229
TH01SD	02	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$35
TH01SD	02	Corner Break	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$2,271
TH01SD	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$424
TH01SD	02	Joint Seal Damage	High	78	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$3,611
TH01SD	02	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$339
TH01SD	02	LTD Cracking	Medium	9	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$296

Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details (continued).

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sheldon Regional Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2023