### Sibley Municipal Airport

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

#### PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

AUGUST 2019





### SIBLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

PREPARED FOR:

### IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION BUREAU

PREPARED BY:

APPLIED PAVEMENT TECHNOLOGY, INC.

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

ROBINSON ENGINEERING COMPANY

August 2019

The preparation of this document was financed in part through an Airport Improvement Program grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (Project Number 3-19-0000-024-2018) as provided under Section 505 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents do not necessarily reflect the DOT's official views or the policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein nor does it indicate the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with appropriate public laws.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	10
Runway	10
Taxiways	10
Apron	10
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Critical PCIs	11
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	11
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	11
Budget and Inflation Rate	11
Analysis Approach	11
Analysis Results	12
General Maintenance Recommendations	13
SUMMARY	14
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use.	2
Figure 3. Sibley Municipal Airport network definition map.	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	4
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Sibley Municipal Airport	
Figure 8. Sibley Municipal Airport PCI map	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2018 pavement evaluation results.	
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	12

#### **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A.	Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B.	Inspection Photographs	B-1
	Inspection Report	
	Work History Report	
	Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
	Year 2019 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction August 2019

#### INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company, updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Aviation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Sibley Municipal Airport were assessed in November 2018 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). The importance of identifying not only the type of repair but also the optimal time of repair is illustrated in Figure 1. This figure shows that there is a point in a pavement's life cycle where the rate of deterioration increases. The financial impact of delaying repairs beyond this point can be severe.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

The pavement evaluation results for Sibley Municipal Airport are presented within this report and can be used by the Iowa DOT, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), and Sibley Municipal Airport to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based Interactive Data Exchange Application (IDEA) containing the pavement management information collected during this project was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website.

Pavement Inventory August 2019

#### PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The pavement network at Sibley Municipal Airport was divided into branches, sections, and sample units for pavement management purposes. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways and aprons are also separate branches.

Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, last construction date, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.

To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the condition of the section as a whole.

Approximately 214,985 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Sibley Municipal Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age in years of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Sibley Municipal Airport.





Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use.



#### **PAVEMENT EVALUATION**

#### **Pavement Evaluation Procedure**

APTech inspected the pavements at Sibley Municipal Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements* (<a href="https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf">https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-6C.pdf</a>).
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)* (https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\_Circular/150-5380-7B.pdf).
- ASTM D5340-12, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0 (representing a pavement in a failed condition) to a value of 100 (representing a pavement in excellent condition).

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces<sup>1</sup>.







<sup>1</sup>Photographs shown are not specific to Sibley Municipal Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 O-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration. PCI distress types are characterized as load-related (such as alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on portland cement concrete [PCC] pavements), climate/durability-related (such as weathering [a climate-related distress type on asphalt-surfaced pavements] and durability cracking [a durability-related distress type on PCC pavements]), and other (distress types that cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability). Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates its recurrence.

Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

#### **Pavement Evaluation Results**

The pavements at Sibley Municipal Airport were inspected on November 17, 2018. The 2018 area-weighted condition of Sibley Municipal Airport is 62, with conditions ranging from 35 to 92 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]). During the previous pavement inspection in 2014, the area-weighted PCI of the airport was 70.

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Sibley Municipal Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distresses observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.

Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Sibley Municipal Airport.






Figure 7. PCI by branch use at Sibley Municipal Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)





Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2018 pavement evaluation results.

Branch <sup>1</sup>	Section <sup>1</sup>	Surface Type <sup>2</sup>	Section Area (sf)	LCD³	2018 PCI	% Distress due to Load <sup>4</sup>	% Distress due to Climate/ Durability <sup>5</sup>	% Distress due to Other <sup>6</sup>	Type of Distresses <sup>7</sup>
A01IS	01	PCC	20,160	6/1/2009	73	44	24	32	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking
R17IS	01	PCC	150,000	6/1/1994	61	38	12	50	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts
T01IS	01	PCC	7,302	6/1/2001	71	47	21	32	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking
T02IS	01	PCC	11,488	6/1/2001	68	68	21	11	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking
T03IS	01	PCC	1,668	6/1/2001	92	0	27	73	Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling
T03IS	02	PCC	1,651	6/1/1993	36	33	7	60	Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Small Patch
T03IS	03	PCC	5,846	6/1/1994	61	23	12	65	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, Large Patch, LTD Cracking, Popouts
T03IS	04	PCC	6,245	6/1/2001	35	70	8	22	ASR, Corner Break, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
T04IS	01	PCC	10,625	6/1/1994	66	22	14	64	ASR, Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, Joint Spalling, LTD Cracking, Popouts, Shrinkage Cracking

Pavement Evaluation

#### Table 1. 2018 pavement evaluation results (continued).

<sup>1</sup>See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

<sup>2</sup>AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

 $^{3}LCD = last construction date.$ 

<sup>4</sup>Distress due to load includes those distresses attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on a PCC pavement.

<sup>5</sup>Distress due to climate or durability includes those distresses attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking in asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] in a PCC pavement). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.

<sup>6</sup>Other refers to distresses not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.

<sup>7</sup>Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-12. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

#### **Inspection Comments**

Sibley Municipal Airport was inspected on November 17, 2018. There were nine pavement sections defined during the inspection. Suspected alkali-silica reaction (ASR) was recorded on multiple pavement sections at this airport in accordance with ASTM D5340-12. Laboratory testing and analysis is the only definitive way to validate the presence of ASR.

#### Runway

Runway 17/35 consisted of one section. Low-severity ASR, large patching, and corner break; low- and medium-severity longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking, corner spalling, faulting, and joint spalling; medium-severity joint seal damage; and popouts were recorded in Section 01.

#### **Taxiways**

Taxiway 01 was defined by one section that connected the apron area with Runway 17/35. Medium- and high-severity corner spalling, low-severity faulting and large patching, and low- and medium-severity joint seal damage, joint spalling, and LTD cracking were observed in Section 01.

Taxiway 02 contained one section that was located between the apron area and Taxiway 03. Low-severity corner break, corner spalling, and faulting and low- and medium-severity joint seal damage, joint spalling, and LTD cracking were identified at the time of inspection.

Taxiway 03 consisted of four sections that connected Taxiway 02 with the Runway 17 approach. Low-severity joint seal damage was recorded throughout Section 01 along with isolated amounts of low- and medium-severity joint spalling. Section 02 was in poor condition with low-severity corner break, low- and medium-severity LTD cracking and faulting, medium-severity joint seal damage and joint spalling, low-severity small and large patching, and popouts observed at the time of inspection. Low-severity ASR, joint spalling, large patching, and corner break; all severities of corner spalling; low- and medium-severity faulting; medium-severity joint seal damage and LTD cracking; and popouts were identified in Section 03. Section 04 was in poor condition with medium-severity faulting and joint spalling and low- and medium-severity ASR, LTD cracking, joint seal damage, shattered slab, and corner break recorded throughout.

Taxiway 04, a taxiway turnaround located at the Runway 35 approach, was defined by one section. Low- and medium-severity ASR and corner spalling; low-severity corner break, joint spalling, faulting, and LTD cracking; medium-severity joint seal damage; popouts; and shrinkage cracking were observed in Section 01.

#### Apron

The apron area contained one section with medium-severity corner break and LTD cracking, low-severity corner spalling and faulting, low- and medium-severity joint seal damage, and all severities of joint spalling noted at the time of inspection.

#### PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Sibley Municipal Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

#### **Analysis Parameters**

#### Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons.

#### Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sibley Municipal Airport.

#### Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to more accurately estimate the cost of such work.

#### Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2019, and an inflation rate of 1.5 percent was used during the analysis.

#### **Analysis Approach**

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2019) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2020 or 2021, then localized maintenance was not recommended for 2019. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Sibley Municipal Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2019 localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

#### **Analysis Results**

A summary of the M&R program for Sibley Municipal Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2019 is contained in Appendix F.

Year	Branch <sup>1</sup>	Section <sup>1</sup>	Surface Type <sup>2</sup>	Type of Repair <sup>3</sup>	Estimated Cost <sup>4</sup>
2019	A01IS	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$10,713
2019	R17IS	01	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$1,147,500
2019	T01IS	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$3,522
2019	T02IS	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$4,254
2019	T03IS	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$226
2019	T03IS	02	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$26,730
2019	T03IS	04	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$101,107
2019	T04IS	01	PCC	Localized Maintenance	\$6,790
2020	T03IS	03	PCC	Major Rehabilitation	\$45,393

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

**Total Estimated Cost: \$1,347,000** 

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Sibley Municipal Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Sibley Municipal Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic and/or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Sibley Municipal Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Major Rehabilitation: such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay. Localized Preventive Maintenance: such as crack sealing or patching.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at the airport.

#### **General Maintenance Recommendations**

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies are considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity.
- 2. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is very destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 3. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 4. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 5. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

Summary August 2019

#### **SUMMARY**

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Sibley Municipal Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2018 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 62. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Sibley Municipal Airport, which revealed that approximately \$1,347,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Sibley Municipal Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

## APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables August 2019

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables August 2019

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Settlement	Upheaval or consolidation.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

# APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS


A01IS-01. Overview.



A01IS-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 05).



R17IS-01. Overview.



R17IS-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04).



R17IS-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 32).



T01IS-01. Overview.




T01IS-01. Corner Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



T02IS-01. Overview.




T02IS-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 03).




T03IS-01. Overview.



T03IS-01. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 01).



T03IS-02. Overview.



T03IS-02. Large Patching (Sample Unit No. 01).



T03IS-03. Overview.



T03IS-03. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 03).



T03IS-04. Overview.



T03IS-04. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 03).




T04IS-01. Overview.



T04IS-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 04).



T04IS-01. ASR (Sample Unit No. 05).



# APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

#### **Re-inspection Report**

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Network: ISB Nar	ne: SIBLEY MUNICIPA	AL AIRPORT				
Branch: A01IS Nar	me: APRON AT SIBLE	Y	Use: APRON	Area: 2	0,160.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of 1 From: NORTH END OF APRON			To: SOUTH E	To: SOUTH END OF APRON		06/01/2009
	Camily: IowaPCCAPNC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 20,160.00SqFt	Length: 240.			T ' ( T ) (1		
Slabs: 140 Slab W		Slab Length:	12.00Ft	Joint Length:	3,036.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2018 To	tal Samples: 7	Surveyed: 4				
Conditions: PCI:73						
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 02	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 61		
Sample Comments: 71 FAULTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	3.00 Slabs			
62 CORNER BREAK		M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 04	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
Sample Comments:		_	4 00 51 1			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	2.00 Slabs			
71 FAULTING 74 JOINT SPALLING		L L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	21.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 05 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 71		
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		Н	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 06	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
Sample Comments:						
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	,	M	1.00 Slabs			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	ı	М	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### **Re-inspection Report**

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Report Generated Date: June 25	5, 2019					
Network: ISB Nan	ne: SIBLEY MUNICIP	AL AIRPORT				
Branch: R17IS Nan	ne: RUNWAY 17/35 A	T SIBLEY	Use: RUNWAY	Area: 15	0,000.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC Fe	1 From: NOR' amily: IowaPCCRWN	TH END OF RUNWAY	To: SOUTH EN	ND OF RUNWAY Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/01/1994 Rank: P
Area: 150,000.00SqFt	Length: 3,000.	00Ft Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 960 Slab W	idth: 12.50Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	20,950.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2018 Tot Conditions: PCI: 61 Inspection Comments:	tal Samples: 40	Surveyed: 8				
Sample Number: 004 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 58		
76 ASR		L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 008 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 71		
76 ASR		${f L}$	14.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 012 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 64		
76 ASR		L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING		M L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINI SPALLING		ш	1.00 Slabs	Commencs.		
Sample Number: 017 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 63		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		${ t L}$	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 022 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 76		
76 ASR		L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING		M L	24.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 027	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 54		
Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:		
-		_				

#### **Re-inspection Report**

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

report Scheratea Bate. vane 23	, 2017					
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILI	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 75 CORNER SPALLING		M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
		M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 032	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 46	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING		М	4 00	Slabs	Comments:	
76 ASR		L		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		М		Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M		Slabs	Comments:	
		L		Slabs		
71 FAULTING		ш	1.00	STADS	Comments:	
Sample Number: 037 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 59	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
76 ASR		L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
68 POPOUTS		N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	

#### IA2018ALL

74 JOINT SPALLING

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

71 FAULTING

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019									
Network:	ISB	Name:	SIBLEY MUNICIPAL A	IRPORT					
Branch:	T01IS	Name:	TAXIWAY 01 AT SIBL	EY	Use: TA	XIWAY	Area:	4,502.00SqFt	
Section:	01	of 1	From: S SECT T	WY TO MID RWY	To: S	S EDGE OF	TWY	Last Const.:	06/01/2001
Surface:	PCC	Family	: IowaPCCTWNCW				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	7,302.00SqFt	Le	ngth: 225.00Ft	Wie	dth: 30.00	Ft			
Slabs: 73	Slal	Width:		Slab Leng	th: 10.001	₹t	Joint Length:	1,095.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street Type	e:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0	,		S	·	
Section Con	nments:								
Last Insp.	Date: 11/17/2018	Total Sa	mples: 4 Su	irveyed: 3					
-	s: PCI:71	Total Sa	impres.	iiveyea.					
Inspection C									
Sample Nu		Тур	e: R	Area:	20.00Slabs		PCI = 43		
Sample Con	nments:			М	2 00	Slabs	Comments:		
	EAR CRACKING	3		M		Slabs	Comments:		
	EAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:		
	NER SPALLING			H		Slabs	Comments:		
	NER SPALLING			M		Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOIN	NT SEAL DAMA	AGE		M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu		Туј	oe: R	Area:	18.00Slabs		PCI = 87		
Sample Con	nments:			ъл	1 00	Slabs	Commonta		
	NT SPALLING			M L		Slabs	Comments:		
	NT SPALLING NT SEAL DAMA	AGE		М		Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu		Туј	pe: R	Area:	18.00Slabs		PCI = 86		
Sample Con	nments: GE PATCH/UTI	TTTTV		т	1 00	Slabs	Commonta		
U/ LAK	JE PAICH/UT.	гтттд		L	1.00	STADS	Comments:		

L

2.00 Slabs Comments:

1.00 Slabs Comments:

18.00 Slabs Comments:

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Network: ISB	Name: SIBLEY MUNICIPA	AL AIRPORT				
Branch: T02IS	Name: TAXIWAY 02 AT S	SIBLEY	Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 1	1,488.00SqFt	
Section: 01		O OF TAXIWAY	To: S END OF		Last Const.:	06/01/2001
Surface: PCC	Family: IowaPCCTWNC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 11,488.00SqFt	Length: 600.0		20.00Ft			
	ab Width: 8.50Ft	Slab Length:	8.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,203.53Ft	
Shoulder: Street Ty	rpe: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/201	8 Total Samples: 6	Surveyed: 4				
Conditions: PCI: 68	1	,				
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 003	Type: R	Area:	28.00Slabs	PCI = 95		
Sample Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING	7	т	1 00 Claba	Commonta		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L L	1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAN		L	28.00 Slabs	Comments:		
		ш	20.00 51455	COMMICTIES.		
Sample Number: 004	Type: R	Area:	28.00Slabs	PCI = 63		
Sample Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLIN	IG	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		_ M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	3	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	NG	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAM	MAGE	М	28.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 005 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	28.00Slabs	PCI = 47		
74 JOINT SPALLING	7	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN		M	12.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAM		M	28.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	Ġ.	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 006 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	22.00Slabs	PCI = 65		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	NG	М	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAM		L	22.00 Slabs	Comments:		
		_				

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Network:	ISB	Name: SIBL	EY MUNICIPAL AIR	PORT				
Branch:	T03IS	Name: TAX	IWAY 03 AT SIBLEY	7	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	5,200.00SqFt	
Section:	01	of 4	From: N END OF R	UNWAY	To: APPROX. MI	DPOINT OF TWY	Last Const.:	06/01/2001
Surface:	PCC	Family: Io	owaPCCTWNCW			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	1,668.00SqFt	Length	80.00Ft	Width:	17.00Ft			
Slabs: 20	S	lab Width:	9.00Ft	Slab Length:	10.00Ft	Joint Length:	190.11Ft	
Shoulder:	Street T	ype: (	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		0		

Section Comments:

Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI: 92 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 001	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs		PCI = 92
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		${f L}$	20.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Network:	ISB	Name: SI	BLEY MUNICIPAL AIF	RPORT				
Branch:	T03IS	Name: TA	AXIWAY 03 AT SIBLEY	Ĭ.	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	5,200.00SqFt	
Section:	02	of 4	From: T03IS-03		To: T03IS-01		Last Const.:	06/01/1993
Surface:	PCC	Family:	IowaPCCTWNCW			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	1,651.00SqFt	Leng	gth: 82.00Ft	Width:	20.00Ft			
Slabs: 20	S	lab Width:	9.50Ft	Slab Length:	8.50Ft	Joint Length:	263.57Ft	
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				

Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2018 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI: 36 Inspection Comments:

Samp	le Number: 00	1	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs		PCI = 36
Samp	le Comments:						
67	LARGE PATCH	/UTIL	ITY	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRAC	KING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
74	JOINT SPALI	ING		M	5.00	Slabs	Comments:
63	LINEAR CRAC	KING		M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
62	CORNER BREA	K		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
68	POPOUTS			N	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
66	SMALL PATCH			L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING			L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
71	FAULTING			М	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
65	JOINT SEAL	DAMAG	E	M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:

#### IA2018ALL

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019							
Network:	ISB Nar	me: SIBLEY MUNICIP	AL AIRPORT				
Branch:	T03IS Nan	ne: TAXIWAY 03 AT	SIBLEY	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	5,200.00SqFt	
Section:	03 of	4 From: RY 1		To: NE END O	F 17IS-01	Last Const.:	06/01/1994
Surface:	PCC F	amily: IowaPCCTWN0	CW		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	5,846.00SqFt	Length: 225.	00Ft Width	: 22.00Ft			
Slabs: 64	Slab W	idth: 8.00Ft	Slab Length:	8.00Ft	Joint Length:	990.50Ft	
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		3		
Section Cor	mments:						
	Date: 11/17/2018 To	tal Samples: 3	Surveyed: 3				
Inspection (							
Sample Nu		Type: R	Area:	19.00Slabs	PCI = 64		
Sample Cor	EAR CRACKING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
	NER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR			L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORI	NER SPALLING		M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
68 POP	OUTS		N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOI1	NT SEAL DAMAGE		М	19.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu Sample Cor		Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 68		
68 POP			N	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR			L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORI	NER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORI	NER SPALLING		Н	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOI1	NT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOI1	NT SEAL DAMAGE		М	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Nu Sample Cor		Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 51		
	NER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORI	NER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAU	LTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
68 POP	OUTS		N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LAR	GE PATCH/UTILI	TY	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR			L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAU			M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
	EAR CRACKING		M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 .TOT1	NT SEAT, DAMAGE	1	M	24 NN Slahe	Comments.		

M

24.00 Slabs Comments:

#### IA2018ALL

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Network: ISB	Name: SIBLEY MU	INICIPAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T03IS	Name: TAXIWAY	03 AT SIBLEY	Use: TAXIWA	AY Area:	5,200.00SqFt	
Section: 04	of 4 From:		То: .		Last Const.:	06/01/2001
Surface: PCC	Family: IowaPCC	CTWNCW		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 6,245.00SqFt	Length:	185.00Ft W	idth: 22.00Ft			
Slabs: 63 Sla	ıb Width: 8	.00Ft Slab Len	gth: 8.00Ft	Joint Length:	810.50Ft	
Shoulder: Street Typ	be: Grade:	0.00 Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/201	8 Total Samples:	3 Surveyed: 3				
Conditions: PCI: 35 Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 001	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 36		
Sample Comments:		<del>-</del>	F 00 01-	1 O		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	G	L	5.00 Sla			
62 CORNER BREAK		L	3.00 Sla			
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	2.00 Sla			
72 SHATTERED SLAB 63 LINEAR CRACKIN		L M	1.00 Sla 2.00 Sla			
72 SHATTERED SLAB		M M	2.00 Sla 2.00 Sla			
65 JOINT SEAL DAM		L L	18.00 Sla			
Sample Number: 002	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 48		
Sample Comments:	31					
72 SHATTERED SLAB		M	2.00 Sla	bs Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	G	L	2.00 Sla	bs Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB		L	1.00 Sla	bs Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	G	M	2.00 Sla	bs Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAM	AGE	L	18.00 Sla	bs Comments:		
Sample Number: 003	Type: R	Area:	27.00Slabs	PCI = 26		
Sample Comments: 72 SHATTERED SLAB		М	2.00 Sla	bs Comments:		
76 ASR		L L	4.00 Sla			
62 CORNER BREAK		M	5.00 Sla			
76 ASR		M	1.00 Sla			
63 LINEAR CRACKIN	G	M	3.00 Sla			
63 LINEAR CRACKIN		L	2.00 Sla			
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 Sla			
71 FAULTING		M	4.00 Sla			
65 JOINT SEAL DAM	7 C E	M	27 00 Sla			

Μ

27.00 Slabs Comments:

#### IA2018ALL

Report Generated Date: June 25, 2019

Network: ISB Nar	ne: SIBLEY MUNICIPAL AI	RPORT				
Branch: T04IS Nar	ne: TAXIWAY 04 AT SIBLE	Y	Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 1	0,625.00SqFt	
Section: 01 of Surface: PCC F	1 From: .  family: IowaPCCTWNCW		То: .	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	06/01/1994 Rank: P
Area: 10,625.00SqFt	Length: 415.00Ft	Width	: 20.00Ft		8 7	
Slabs: 166 Slab W Shoulder: Street Type:		Slab Length: Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	1,640.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/17/2018 To Conditions: PCI: 66 Inspection Comments:	tal Samples: 6 Sui	rveyed: 4				
Sample Number: 002 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 53		
76 ASR		L	11.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	1	M	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
68 POPOUTS		N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 004 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 75		
76 ASR		L	13.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 005	Type: R	Area:	21.00Slabs	PCI = 68		
Sample Comments: 76 ASR		L	9.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	21.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 006 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	22.00Slabs	PCI = 66		
71 FAULTING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
76 ASR		L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	22.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	IG	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

# APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

Date:07/01/2019

06/01/1994

NC-PC

New Construction - PCC (Major

#### **Work History Report**

1 of 2 Pavement Database: IA2018All Network: ISB Branch: A01IS (APRON AT SIBLEY) Section: 01 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/01/2009 Use: APRON 240.00 Ft 84.00 Ft Rank: P Length: Width: True Area: 20,160.00 SqF Work Work Work **Thickness** Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R CR-PC Complete Reconstruction - PC 06/01/2009 \$0 0.00 True **EST** 06/30/1966 INITIAL **Initial Construction** True Surface: PCC (RUNWAY 17/35 AT SIBLEY) Network: ISB Branch: R17IS Section: 01 L.C.D.: 06/01/1994 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Length: 3,000.00 Ft 50.00 Ft True Area: 150,000.00 SqF Width: Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R New Construction - PCC (Major 06/01/1994 NC-PC Assumed date 06/30/1966 INITIAL Initial Construction True Network: ISB (TAXIWAY 01 AT SIBLEY) Surface: PCC Branch: T01IS Section: 01 L.C.D.: 06/01/2001 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 225.00 Ft True Area: 7,302.00 SqF Width: 30.00 Ft Thickness Work Work Work Major Comments Cost Description M&R Date Code (in) Complete Reconstruction - PC 06/01/2001 CR-PC True 06/30/1966 **Initial Construction** INITIAL True Network: ISB (TAXIWAY 02 AT SIBLEY) Branch: T02IS Section: 01 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/01/2001 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 600.00 Ft Width: 20.00 Ft True Area: 11,488.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Description M&R Code (in) 06/01/2001 CR-PC Complete Reconstruction - PC True True 06/30/1966 INITIAL Initial Construction (TAXIWAY 03 AT SIBLEY) Network: ISB Branch: T03IS Section: 01 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/01/2001 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 80.00 Ft Width: 17.00 Ft True Area: 1,668.00 SqF Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Description Date Code (in) M&R 06/01/2001 CR-PC Complete Reconstruction - PC True Network: ISB (TAXIWAY 03 AT SIBLEY) Branch: T03IS Section: 02 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/01/1993 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 82.00 Ft True Area: 1,651.00 SqF Width: 20.00 Ft Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Description Date Code (in) M&R 06/01/1993 INITIAL Initial Construction True (TAXIWAY 03 AT SIBLEY) Network: ISB Branch: T03IS Section: 03 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/01/1994 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 225.00 Ft 22.00 Ft True Area: 5,846.00 SqF Width: Work **Thickness** Work Work Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R 06/01/1994 INITIAL **Initial Construction** True (TAXIWAY 03 AT SIBLEY) Network: ISB Branch: T03IS Section: 04 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 06/01/2001 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 185.00 Ft Width: 22.00 Ft True Area: 6,245.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description M&R (in) Initial Construction 06/01/2001 INITIAL True (TAXIWAY 04 AT SIBLEY) Surface: PCC Network: ISB Branch: T04IS Section: 01 L.C.D.: 06/01/1994 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 415.00 Ft Width: 20.00 Ft True Area: 10,625.00 SqF Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R

True

Assumed date

Date:07/01/2019

# Work History Report

2 of 2

Pavement Database:IA2018All

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
Complete Reconstruction - PCC	1	20,160.00	.00	-
Complete Reconstruction - PCC	3	20,458.00	1	1
Initial Construction	7	202,692.00	-	-
New Construction - PCC (Major MR)	2	160,625.00	-	-

# **APPENDIX E**

# LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Severity Level	Maintenance Action
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Corrugation	Low	Monitor
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch
Depression	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Monitor
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Patching	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
Raveling	Low	Monitor
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch
Rutting	Low	Monitor
Rutting	Medium	Monitor
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch
Swelling	Low	Monitor
Swelling	Medium	Monitor
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch
Weathering	Low	Monitor
Weathering	Medium	Monitor
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

р: 4	Severity	34
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action
ASR	Low	Monitor
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement
ASR	High	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch
Popouts	N/A	Monitor
Pumping	N/A	Monitor
Scaling	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement
Settlement	Low	Monitor
Settlement	Medium	Grinding
Settlement	High	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch

Table E-3. 2019 unit costs for preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	<b>Unit Cost</b>		
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$13.66/sf		
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.34/lf		
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$34.97/sf		
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$15.62/sf		
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.81/lf		
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$2.81/lf		
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.34/sf		
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$15.62/sf		

Table E-4. 2019 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$9.70	\$4.59	\$4.59	\$4.59	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$16.19	\$7.65	\$7.65	\$7.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

# APPENDIX F YEAR 2019 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2019 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch <sup>1</sup>	Section <sup>1</sup>	Distress Type <sup>2</sup>	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost <sup>3</sup>	2019 Estimated Cost <sup>3</sup>
A01IS	01	Corner Break	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$15.62	\$1,681
A01IS	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	105	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.81	\$6,398
A01IS	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	8	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$1,882
A01IS	01	Joint Spalling	High	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$471
A01IS	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	8	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.81	\$281
T01IS	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$245
T01IS	01	Corner Spalling	High	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$123
T01IS	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	50	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.81	\$2,088
T01IS	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	4	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$883
T01IS	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	7	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.81	\$183
T02IS	01	Corner Break	Low	3	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.81	\$60
T02IS	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	73	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.81	\$3,271
T02IS	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$296
T02IS	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	26	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.81	\$626
T03IS	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	1	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$226
T04IS	01	ASR	Medium	2	Slabs	Slab Replacement - PCC	\$15.62	\$1,952
T04IS	01	Corner Break	Low	2	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$2.81	\$45
T04IS	01	Corner Spalling	Medium	2	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$34.97	\$184
T04IS	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	166	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$2.81	\$4,608

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-12. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at the airport.



#### PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Aviation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

AUGUST 2019