Sioux County Regional Airport

Pavement Management Report

PREPARED BY

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 (217) 398-3977 www.appliedpavement.com

JULY 2023







SIOUX COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared For:



Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation/

Prepared By:



Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. 115 West Main Street, Suite 400 Urbana, Illinois 61801 217-398-3977 https://www.appliedpavement.com

In Association With:



Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers 819 Second Street NE Independence, Iowa 50644 319-334-7211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
PAVEMENT INVENTORY	3
PAVEMENT EVALUATION	
Pavement Evaluation Procedure	
Pavement Evaluation Results	
Inspection Comments	
Runway	
Taxiways	
Apron	
T-Hangar	
PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM	
Analysis Parameters	
Critical PCIs	
Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs	
Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs	14
Budget and Inflation Rate	
Analysis Approach	
Analysis Results	
General Maintenance Recommendations	
FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)	16
FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program	
(PMP)	17
SUMMARY	21
LIST OF FIGURES Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair	1
Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Sioux County Regional Airport	
Figure 3. Sioux County Regional Airport network definition map.	
Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces	
Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.	
Figure 6. Pavement area by PCI range at Sioux County Regional Airport	
Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Sioux County Regional Airport	
Figure 8. Sioux County Regional Airport PCI map	
rigure 6. Sloux County Regional Amport I CI map	10
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results	11
Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario	
Table 3. Pavement inspection report	
Table 5.1 a. sment inspection report	17

Table of Contents July 2023

APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Cause of Distress Tables	A-1
Appendix B. Inspection Photographs	
Appendix C. Inspection Report	
Appendix D. Work History Report	
Appendix E. Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Cost Tables	
Appendix F. Year 2023 Localized Preventive Maintenance Details	

Introduction July 2023

INTRODUCTION

Applied Pavement Technology, Inc. (APTech), with assistance from Robinson Engineering Company Consulting Engineers (Robinson), updated the Airport Pavement Management System (APMS) for the Iowa Department of Transportation, Modal Transportation Bureau (Iowa DOT). The APMS provides a means to monitor the condition of the pavements within the state of Iowa and to proactively plan for their preservation.

As part of this project, pavement conditions at Sioux County Regional Airport were assessed in November 2022 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) procedure. During a PCI inspection, the types, severities, and amounts of distress present in a pavement are quantified. This information is then used to develop a composite index that represents the overall condition of the pavement in numerical terms, ranging from 0 (failed) to 100 (excellent). The PCI provides an overall measure of condition and an indication of the level of work that will be required to maintain or repair a pavement. The distress information also provides insight into what is causing the pavement to deteriorate, which is the first step in selecting the appropriate repair action to correct the problem.

Programmed into an APMS, PCI information is used to determine when preventive maintenance actions (such as crack or joint sealing) are advisable and to identify the most cost-effective time to perform major rehabilitation (such as an overlay or whitetopping). Delaying maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) until a pavement structure has seriously degraded can cost many times more than if M&R was applied earlier in a pavement's life cycle, as shown in Figure 1. From a safety perspective, pavement distresses, such as cracks and loose debris, may pose risks in terms of the potential for aircraft tire damage and the ability of a pilot to safely control aircraft.




Figure 1. Pavement condition versus cost of repair.

Introduction July 2023

The pavement evaluation results for Sioux County Regional Airport are presented within this report and can be used by Sioux County Regional Airport, the Iowa DOT, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement M&R actions at the airport. In addition to this report, the web-based interactive pavement data visualization tool IDEA, containing the information collected during this project, was updated and may be accessed from the Iowa DOT's website or directly (Iowa APMS IDEA).

Pavement Inventory July 2023

PAVEMENT INVENTORY

The project began with a review of the existing inventory information pertaining to the pavements at Sioux County Regional Airport. The date of original construction, along with the date of any subsequent rehabilitation; the location of completed work; and the type of work undertaken were gathered. The information was used to update the pavement management database and associated maps, as necessary, to account for pavement-related work that had been undertaken since the last time the airport was evaluated in 0.

The pavement network at Sioux County Regional Airport was then divided into branches, sections, and sample units. A branch is a single entity that serves a distinct function. For example, a runway is considered a branch because it serves a single function (allowing aircraft to take off and land). Taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are also separate branches.


Each branch was further divided into sections. Traditionally, sections are defined as parts of the branch that share common attributes, such as cross-section, date of last construction, traffic level, and performance. Using this approach, if a runway was built in 1968 and then extended in 1984, it would contain two separate sections.


To estimate the overall condition of a pavement section, each section was subdivided into sample units. Portions of these sample units were evaluated during the pavement inspection, and the collected information was extrapolated to predict the overall section condition and quantities of distress.

Approximately 1,083,900 square feet of pavement were evaluated at Sioux County Regional Airport, as illustrated in Figure 2. This figure also shows the area-weighted age, in years, of the pavements at the time of the inspection. Figure 3 provides a map that details how the pavement network was divided into management units and identifies the sample units that were evaluated during the pavement inspection at Sioux County Regional Airport.

Pavement Inventory July 2023

Figure 2. Pavement area by branch use at Sioux County Regional Airport.





PAVEMENT EVALUATION

Pavement Evaluation Procedure

APTech inspected the pavements at Sioux County Regional Airport using the PCI procedure described in:

- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C, <u>Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements</u>.
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B, *Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)*.
- ASTM D5340-20, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.

The PCI provides a numerical indication of overall pavement condition, as illustrated in Figure 4. The types and amounts of deterioration are used to calculate the PCI of the section. The PCI ranges from a value of 0, which represents a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100, which represents a pavement in excellent condition. It is important to note that factors other than overall PCI need to be considered when identifying the appropriate type of repair, including types of distress present and rate of deterioration. Also, since the PCI does not assess the structural integrity or capacity of the pavement structure, further testing may be needed to validate and refine the treatment strategy.

Figure 4. Visual representation of PCI scale on typical pavement surfaces.







Note: Photographs shown are not specific to Sioux County Regional Airport.

Generally, pavements with relatively high PCIs that are not exhibiting significant load-related distress will benefit from preventive maintenance actions, such as crack sealing or joint resealing. As the PCI drops, the pavements may require major rehabilitation, such as an overlay or whitetopping. In some situations where the PCI has dropped low enough, reconstruction may be the only viable alternative due to the substantial damage to the pavement structure. Figure 5 illustrates how the appropriate repair type varies with the PCI of a pavement section and provides the corresponding colors used for the maps and charts in this report for each range of PCIs.

 PCI Range
 Repair

 86-100
 Preventive Maintenance

 56-70
 Major Rehabilitation

 26-40
 Reconstruction

 0-10
 O-10

Figure 5. PCI versus repair type.

The types of distress identified during the PCI inspection provide insight into the cause of pavement deterioration, which is useful when selecting M&R strategies. Understanding the cause of distress helps in selecting a rehabilitation alternative that corrects the cause and thus eliminates or delays its recurrence. PCI distress types are characterized as:

- Load-related—These distress types are defined as being caused by aircraft or vehicular traffic and may indicate a structural deficiency. Examples of load-related distress include alligator cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements and corner breaks on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements.
- Climate/durability-related—These distress types often signify the presence of aged or environmentally susceptible (or both) material and include durability-related issues.
 Examples of climate/durability-related distress include weathering on asphalt-surfaced pavements, which is climate-related, and durability cracking on PCC pavements, which is durability-related.
- Other—Distress types that fall into this category cannot be attributed solely to load or climate/durability. Examples of this type of distress include depressions on asphalt-surfaced pavements and shrinkage cracking on PCC pavements.


Appendix A identifies the distress types considered during a PCI inspection and describes the likely cause of each distress type. It should be noted that a PCI is based on visual signs of pavement deterioration and does not provide a measure of structural capacity.

Pavement Evaluation Results

The pavements at Sioux County Regional Airport were inspected in November 2022. The 2022 area-weighted condition of Sioux County Regional Airport is 93, with conditions ranging from 72 to 98 (on a scale of 0 [failed] to 100 [excellent]).

Figure 6 summarizes the overall condition of the pavements at Sioux County Regional Airport, and Figure 7 presents area-weighted condition (average PCI adjusted to account for the relative

size of the pavement sections) by branch use. Figure 8 is a map that displays the condition of the evaluated pavements. Table 1 summarizes the results of the pavement evaluation. Appendix B presents photographs taken during the PCI inspection, and Appendix C contains detailed information on the distress types observed during the visual survey. Appendix D includes detailed work history information that was collected during the record review process.







Figure 7. Area-weighted PCI by branch use at Sioux County Regional Airport. (Values on chart are area-weighted)






Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results.

Branch	Section	Surface Type	Section Area (sf)	LCD	2022 PCI	% Distress Due to Load	% Distress Due to Climate/ Durability	% Distress Due to Other	Type of Distress
A01SX	01	PCC	168,530	5/3/2017	90	24	70	6	Corner Break, Corner Spalling, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking
A01SX	02	PCC	32,715	6/3/2021	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
A01SX	03	PCC	4,200	7/1/2017	72	68	32	0	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking, Shattered Slab
R17SX	01	PCC	243,750	5/3/2017	96	0	43	57	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
R17SX	02	PCC	306,250	6/3/2018	92	11	20	69	Corner Spalling, Faulting, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T01SX	01	PCC	62,300	5/3/2017	97	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
T01SX	02	PCC	125,649	6/3/2018	95	0	96	4	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T02SX	01	PCC	15,102	5/3/2017	91	21	79	0	Corner Break, Joint Seal Damage, LTD Cracking
T02SX	02	PCC	13,880	5/3/2017	98	0	85	15	Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage
T02SX	03	PCC	13,971	6/3/2018	95	0	34	66	Faulting, Joint Seal Damage
T02SX	04	PCC	12,656	6/3/2018	98	0	100	0	Joint Seal Damage
TH01SX	01	PCC	84,848	6/3/2018	86	0	75	25	Faulting, Joint Spalling, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. LCD = last construction date.
- 4. Distress due to load includes distress types that are attributed to a structural deficiency in the pavement, such as alligator cracking or rutting on asphalt-surfaced pavements or shattered slabs on PCC pavements.

Pavement Evaluation

Table 1. 2022 pavement evaluation results (continued).

- 5. Distress due to climate or durability includes distress types that are attributed to either the aging of the pavement and the effects of the environment (such as weathering, raveling, or block cracking on asphalt-surfaced pavements) or to a materials-related problem (such as durability cracking or alkali-silica reaction [ASR] on PCC pavements). If materials-related distresses were recorded during the inspection, further laboratory testing is required to definitively determine the type present.
- 6. Distress due to other refers to distress types that are not attributed to one factor but rather may be caused by a combination of factors.
- 7. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.

Inspection Comments

Sioux County Regional Airport was inspected on November 20, 2022. There were twelve pavement sections defined during the inspection.

Runway

Runway 17/35 was defined by two sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with low-severity faulting and joint seal damage recorded at the time of inspection. Medium-severity corner spalling and low-severity faulting, joint seal damage, and longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal (LTD) cracking were observed in Section 02.

Taxiways

Taxiway 01 contained two sections. Section 01 was in excellent condition with only low- and medium-severity joint seal damage noted throughout at the time of inspection. Section 02 was also in excellent condition with areas of low- and medium-severity joint seal damage and low-severity joint spalling recorded.

Taxiway 02 consisted of four sections. Section 01 contained areas of medium-severity joint seal damage and low-severity corner break and LTD cracking. Sections 02, 03, and 04 were in excellent condition at the time of inspection. Low-severity joint seal damage and joint spalling were recorded in Section 02, low-severity faulting and joint seal damage in Section 03, and low-severity joint seal damage in Section 04.

Apron

The apron area was defined by three sections. Section 01 contained areas of medium-severity joint spalling, LTD cracking, and corner break; low-severity corner spalling; all severities of joint seal damage; and shrinkage cracking. An atypical area of low-severity shattered slab was observed and recorded as an additional sample unit in accordance with ASTM D5340-20. Section 02 was in excellent condition with low-severity joint seal damage recorded throughout. High-severity joint seal damage and low-severity corner break, LTD cracking, and shattered slab were observed in Section 03.

T-Hangar

The T-hangar area consisted of one section. Section 01 contained areas of low-severity faulting, medium- and high-severity joint seal damage, medium-severity joint spalling, and low- and medium-severity small patching.

PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Using the information collected during the pavement inspection, the PAVER pavement management software was used to develop a 5-year M&R program for Sioux County Regional Airport. In addition, a 1-year plan for localized preventive maintenance (such as crack sealing and patching) was prepared.

Analysis Parameters

Critical PCIs

PAVER uses critical PCIs to determine whether localized preventive maintenance or major rehabilitation is the appropriate repair action. Above the critical PCI, localized preventive maintenance activities are recommended. Below the critical PCI, major rehabilitation actions, such as an overlay or reconstruction, are recommended. The Iowa DOT set the critical PCIs at 65 for runways, 60 for taxiways, and 55 for aprons and T-hangars.

Localized Preventive Maintenance Policies and Unit Costs

Localized preventive maintenance policies were developed for asphalt-surfaced and PCC pavements. These policies, shown in Appendix E, identify the localized preventive maintenance actions that the Iowa DOT considered appropriate to correct the different distress types and severities. The Iowa DOT provided unit costs for each of the localized preventive maintenance actions included in these policies, and these costs are detailed in Appendix E. Please note that this information is of a general nature for the entire state. The localized preventive maintenance policies and unit costs may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sioux County Regional Airport.

Major Rehabilitation Unit Costs

PAVER estimates the cost of major rehabilitation based on the predicted PCI of the pavement section. The Iowa DOT provided the costs for major rehabilitation, and they are presented in Appendix E. If major rehabilitation is recommended in the 5-year program, further engineering investigation will be needed to identify the most appropriate rehabilitation action and to estimate the cost of such work more accurately.

Budget and Inflation Rate

An unlimited budget with a start date of July 1, 2023 and an inflation rate of 4.0 percent was used during the analysis.

Analysis Approach

The 5-year M&R program was prepared with the goal of maintaining the pavements above established critical PCIs. During this analysis, major rehabilitation was recommended for pavements in the year they dropped below their critical PCI. For the first year (2023) of the analysis only, a localized preventive maintenance plan was developed for those pavement sections that were above their critical PCI. If major rehabilitation was triggered for a section in 2024 or 2025, then localized preventive maintenance was not recommended for 2023. While localized preventive maintenance should be an annual undertaking at Sioux County Regional Airport, it is not possible to accurately predict the propagation of cracking and other distress types. Therefore, the airport should budget for maintenance every year and can use the 2023

localized preventive maintenance plan as a baseline for that work. As the pavements age, it can be assumed that the amount of localized preventive maintenance required will increase.

Analysis Results

A summary of the M&R program for Sioux County Regional Airport is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the recommended localized preventive maintenance plan for 2023 is provided in Appendix F.

Year	Branch	Section	Surface Type	Type of Repair	Estimated Cost
2023	A01SX	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$50,831
2023	A01SX	03	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,673
2023	R17SX	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$1,030
2023	T01SX	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$9,087
2023	T01SX	02	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$36,710
2023	T02SX	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$8,735
2023	TH01SX	01	PCC	Preventive Maintenance	\$43,519

Table 2. 5-year M&R program under an unlimited funding analysis scenario.

Total Estimated Cost: \$152,000

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Surface Type: AC = asphalt cement concrete; AAC = asphalt overlay on AC; PCC = portland cement concrete; APC = asphalt overlay on PCC.
- 3. Type of Repair: Major Rehabilitation such as pavement reconstruction or an overlay; Localized Preventive Maintenance such as crack sealing or patching.
- 4. The estimated costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sioux County Regional Airport.

The recommendations made in this report are based on a broad network-level analysis and meant to provide Sioux County Regional Airport with an indication of the type of pavement-related work required during the next 5 years. Further engineering investigation may be necessary to identify which repair action is most appropriate. In addition, the cost estimates provided are based on overall unit costs for the entire state, and Sioux County Regional Airport should adjust the plan to reflect local costs.

Because an unlimited budget was used in the analysis, it is possible that the pavement repair program may need to be adjusted to consider economic or operational constraints. The identification of a project need does not necessarily mean that state or federal funding will be available in the year it is indicated. It is important to remember that regardless of the recommendations presented within this report, Sioux County Regional Airport is responsible for repairing pavements where existing conditions pose a hazard to safe operations.

General Maintenance Recommendations

In addition to the specific maintenance actions presented in Appendix F, it is recommended that the following strategies be considered for prolonging pavement life:

- 1. Regularly inspect all safety areas of the airport and document all inspection activity. A sample form that can be used to perform these inspections is provided in Table 3 of this report.
- 2. Provide a method of tracking all maintenance activities that occur as a result of inspections. These need to be reported to the FAA and the Iowa DOT. This information is used to update the APMS records and is required to remain in compliance with Public Law 103-305 (see the next section of this report for further information on this law).
- 3. Conduct an aggressive campaign against weed growth through timely herbicide applications and mowing programs of the safety areas. Vegetation growth in pavement cracks is destructive and significantly increases the rate of pavement deterioration.
- 4. Implement a periodic crack and joint sealing program. Keeping water and debris out of the pavement system by sealing cracks and joints is a proven and cost-effective method of extending the life of the pavement system.
- 5. Ensure that dirt does not build up along the edges of the pavements. This can create a "bathtub" effect, reducing the ability of water to drain away from the pavement system.
- 6. Closely monitor the movement of heavy equipment (particularly farming, construction, and fueling equipment) to make sure it is only operating on pavements that are designed to accommodate heavy loads. Failure to restrict heavy equipment to appropriate areas may result in the premature failure of airport pavements.

FAA Requirements (Public Law 103-305)

Because Sioux County Regional Airport is in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the airport sponsor is required to keep the airport in a viable operating condition. This includes maintaining airport pavements in accordance with Public Law 103-305. Public Law 103-305 states that after January 1, 1995, NPIAS airport sponsors must provide assurances or certifications that an airport has implemented an effective airport pavement maintenance management system (PMMS) before the airport will be considered for federal funding of pavement replacement or reconstruction projects. To be in full compliance with the federal law, the PMMS must include the following components at minimum: pavement inventory, pavement inspections, record keeping, information retrieval, and program funding.

This report serves as a complete pavement inventory and detailed inspection. To remain in compliance with the law, Sioux County Regional Airport will also need to undertake monthly drive-by inspections of pavement conditions and track pavement-related maintenance activities.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B provides detailed guidance pertaining to the requirements for an acceptable pavement management program (PMP). Appendix A of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B outlines what needs to be included in a PMP to remain in compliance with this law and Grant Assurance #11. The following is a copy of this Appendix, along with instructions for supplementing this report so that all requirements are met. Note that the italicized words are direct quotations from the FAA Advisory Circular.

FAA Advisory Circular 150/5830-7B, Appendix A. Pavement Management Program (PMP)

A-1.0. An effective PMP specifies the procedures to follow to assure that proper preventative and remedial pavement maintenance is performed. The program should identify funding or anticipated funding and other resources available to provide remedial and preventive maintenance activities. An airport sponsor may use any format deemed appropriate, but the program needs to, as a minimum, include the following:

A-1.1. Pavement Inventory. The following must be depicted:

a. Identification of all runways, taxiways, and aprons with pavement broken down into sections each having similar properties.

The network definition map provided in Figure 3 of this report shows the location of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars at Sioux County Regional Airport. If any new pavements are constructed or any pavement areas are permanently closed, this map must be updated. Project plans should be submitted to the Iowa DOT after project completion.

b. Dimensions of pavement sections.

The dimensions of all runways, taxiways, aprons, and T-hangars are stored in the PAVER database. Appendix C provides information on length, width, and area. In addition, the network definition map provided in Figure 3 is drawn to scale. Any changes to pavement dimensions must be recorded.

c. Type of pavement surface.

The type of pavement for each section at Sioux County Regional Airport is listed in Table 1 of this report and is also stored in the PAVER database. Any changes to the pavement type (through an overlay or reconstruction) must be recorded.

d. Year of construction and/or most recent major rehabilitation.

Dates for pavement construction, rehabilitation, or reconstruction must be recorded. The current pavement history for Sioux County Regional Airport is provided in Appendix D of this report.

e. Whether AIP [Airport Improvement Program] or PFC [Passenger Facility Charge] funds were used to construct, reconstruct, or repair the pavement.

Funding sources for all pavement projects should be recorded.

A-1.2. PMP Pavement Inspection Schedule. Airports must perform a detailed inspection of airfield pavements at least once a year for the PMP. If a pavement condition index (PCI) survey is performed, as set forth in ASTM D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys, the frequency of the detailed inspection by PCI surveys may be extended to three years. Less comprehensive routine daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance inspections required for operations should be addressed.

This report consists of a detailed inspection that will extend the inspection period to 3 years. It is the airport sponsor's responsibility to perform monthly drive-by inspections. A sample pavement inspection report form is provided in Table 3 of this report.

- **A-1.3. Record Keeping.** The airport must record and keep on file complete information about all detailed inspections and maintenance performed until the pavement system is replaced. The types of distress, their locations, and remedial action, scheduled or performed, must be documented. The minimum information recorded includes:
 - a. Inspection date
 - b. Location
 - c. Distress types
 - d. Maintenance scheduled or performed

Items a through c are satisfied by this inspection report. Item d is the responsibility of the airport, as is record keeping of the monthly drive-by inspections.

A-1.4. Information Retrieval. An airport sponsor may use any form of record keeping it deems appropriate so long as the information and records from the pavement survey can generate required reports, as necessary.

Keep this report, monthly drive-by inspection reports, construction updates, and all records of maintenance activities in a readily accessible location so that they can be easily retrieved as requested by the FAA.

Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

Table 3. Pavement inspection report.

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
A01SX	01					
A01SX	02					
A01SX	03					
R17SX	01					
R17SX	02					
T01SX	01					

Table 3. Pavement inspection report (continued).

Inspected By:	
Date Inspected:	

Branch	Section	Distress Description/Dimensions/Severity/ Recommended Action	Description of Repair	Date Performed	Cost	Funding Source
T01SX	02					
T02SX	01					
T02SX	02					
T02SX	03					
T02SX	04					
TH01SX	01					

Table Note: See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.

Summary July 2023

SUMMARY

This report documents the results of the pavement evaluation conducted at Sioux County Regional Airport. A visual inspection of the pavements in 2022 found that the overall condition of the pavement network is a PCI of 93. A 5-year pavement repair program, shown in Table 2, was generated for Sioux County Regional Airport, which revealed that approximately \$152,000 needs to be expended on M&R. Sioux County Regional Airport should utilize these study results to assist in planning for future maintenance needs as part of the airport CIP planning process.

APPENDIX A CAUSE OF DISTRESS TABLES

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-1. Cause of pavement distress, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
Alligator Cracking	Fatigue failure of the asphalt surface under repeated traffic loading.
Bleeding	Excessive amounts of asphalt cement or tars in the mix or low air void content, or both.
Block Cracking	Shrinkage of the asphalt and daily temperature cycling; it is not load associated.
Corrugation	Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement layer.
Depression	Settlement of the foundation soil or can be "built up" during construction.
Jet-Blast Erosion	Bituminous binder has been burned or carbonized.
Joint Reflection Cracking	Movement of the concrete slab beneath the asphalt surface due to thermal and moisture changes.
L&T Cracking	Cracks may be caused by (1) a poorly constructed paving lane joint, (2) shrinkage of the asphalt surface due to low temperatures or hardening of the asphalt, or (3) reflective cracking caused by cracks in an underlying PCC slab.
Oil Spillage	Deterioration or softening of the pavement surface caused by the spilling of oil, fuel, or other solvents.
Patching	N/A
Polished Aggregate	Repeated traffic applications.
Raveling	Asphalt binder may have hardened significantly, causing coarse aggregate pieces to dislodge.
Rutting	Usually caused by consolidation or lateral movement of the materials due to traffic loads.
Shoving	Where PCC pavements adjoin flexible pavements, PCC "growth" may shove the asphalt pavement.
Slippage Cracking	Low strength surface mix or poor bond between the surface and the next layer of the pavement structure.
Swelling	Usually caused by frost action or by swelling soil.
Weathering	Asphalt binder and/or fine aggregate may wear away as the pavement ages and hardens.

Cause of Distress Tables July 2023

Table A-2. Cause of pavement distress, PCC pavements.


Distress Type	Probable Cause of Distress
ASR	Chemical reaction of alkalis in the portland cement with certain reactive silica minerals. ASR may be accelerated by the use of chemical pavement deicers.
Blowup	Incompressible materials in the joints.
Corner Break	Load repetition combined with loss of support and curling stresses.
Durability Cracking	Concrete's inability to withstand environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles.
Faulting	Upheaval or consolidation.
Joint Seal Damage	Stripping of joint sealant, extrusion of joint sealant, weed growth, hardening of the filler (oxidation), loss of bond to the slab edges, or absence of sealant in the joint.
LTD Cracking	Combination of load repetition, curling stresses, and shrinkage stresses.
Patching (Small and Large)	N/A
Popouts	Freeze-thaw action in combination with expansive aggregates.
Pumping	Poor drainage, poor joint sealant.
Scaling	Over finishing of concrete, deicing salts, improper construction, freeze-thaw cycles, and poor aggregate.
Shattered Slab	Load repetition.
Shrinkage Cracking	Setting and curing of the concrete.
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Excessive stresses at the joint caused by infiltration of incompressible materials or traffic loads; weak concrete at the joint combined with traffic loads.

APPENDIX B INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

A01SX-01. Overview.



A01SX-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 23).



A01SX-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 56).



A01SX-01. Shattered Slab (Additional Sample Unit No. 41).




A01SX-02. Overview.



A01SX-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 05).



A01SX-03. Overview.




A01SX-03. Shattered Slab (Sample Unit No. 01).



R17SX-01. Overview.



R17SX-01. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 27).




R17SX-02. Overview.




R17SX-02. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 03).



R17SX-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 06).



T01SX-01. Overview.



T01SX-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 06).




T01SX-02. Overview.



T01SX-02. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 02).




T02SX-01. Overview.



T02SX-01. LTD Cracking (Sample Unit No. 02).




T02SX-02. Overview.



T02SX-02. Joint Spalling (Sample Unit No. 02).



T02SX-03. Overview.



T02SX-03. Faulting (Sample Unit No. 01).



T02SX-03. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).




T02SX-04. Overview.



T02SX-04. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 01).



TH01SX-01. Overview.



TH01SX-01. Joint Seal Damage (Sample Unit No. 07).



APPENDIX C INSPECTION REPORT

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 1

Branch - Section ID: A01SX - 001

PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCW Enhanced

Use: APRON Branch Name: APRON 01

LCD: 5/3/2017

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 168,530.00

Length (ft): 760.00 Width (ft): 205.00

From: . To:

Slabs: 1.079 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 25,920.95

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 90 Total Samples: 61 Surveyed: 9

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 89

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

18.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 20

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 94

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 23

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

62 CORNER BREAK 1.00 Slabs Μ 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 29

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 31

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Н 21.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK

Sample Number: 4

Sample Type: A Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 51

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

 63 LINEAR CRACKING
 M
 6.00 Slabs

 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE
 H
 21.00 Slabs

 72 SHATTERED SLAB
 L
 1.00 Slabs

 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING
 N
 2.00 Slabs

 74 JOINT SPALL
 M
 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 45

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 47

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 96

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs 75 CORNER SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 56

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 3

Branch - Section ID: A01SX - 002

PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCW Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

LCD: 6/3/2021 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 32,715.00

Length (ft): 205.00 Width (ft): 180.00

From: . To:

Slabs: 209 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 4,893.06

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 98

Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 6

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 15.00

15.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Т

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 07

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 11

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 12

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 18.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 18.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 4

Branch - Section ID: A01SX - 003

Branch Name: APRON 01 Use: APRON

LCD: 7/1/2017

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 4,200.00

Length (ft): 100.00 Width (ft): 42.00 From: SEE MAP To: SEE MAP

Slabs: 24 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 13.80 Joint Length (ft): 498.35

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 72 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1 Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCAPNCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 72

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

62 CORNER BREAK L 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 5.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 24.00 Slabs 72 SHATTERED SLAB L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 5

Branch - Section ID: R17SX - 001

PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNCW Enhanced

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 5/3/2017 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 243,750.00

Length (ft): 2,437.50 Width (ft): 100.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 1,560 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 36,462.50

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 96

Total Samples: 79 Surveyed: 9

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 24

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 27

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs
71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 44

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 6

Sample Number: 47

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 90

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 2.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 64

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 67

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 79

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 7

Branch Name: RUNWAY 17/35 Use: RUNWAY

LCD: 6/3/2018 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 306,250.00

Length (ft): 3,062.50 Width (ft): 100.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 1.960

Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 45,837.50

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 92

Total Samples: 99 Surveyed: 10

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCRWNCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 81

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs L 5.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 24

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 27

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 44

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 82

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs L 71 FAULTING 3.00 Slabs L **75 CORNER SPALL** Μ 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 8

Sample Number: 47

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 81

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 5.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 64

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 67

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 84

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 87

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 9

Branch - Section ID: T01SX - 001

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW Enhanced

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 5/3/2017 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 62,300.00 Length (ft): 1,780.00 Width (ft): 35.00

From: . To:

Slabs: 716

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.70 Joint Length (ft): 11,575.92

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 97

Total Samples: 30 Surveyed: 8

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 06

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 09

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 12 Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 15

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 21

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Comments:

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

Т

L

Μ

L

L

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

24.00 Slabs

24.00 Slabs

24.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 10

Sample Number: 24

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 28

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 11

Branch - Section ID: T01SX - 002

L

L

Μ

Μ

L

L

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 01 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2018

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 125,649.00

Length (ft): 3,587.00 Width (ft): 35.00

From: . To:

Slabs: 1.444

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.70 Joint Length (ft): 23,382.31

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 95

Total Samples: 60 Surveyed: 8

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

74 JOINT SPALL Sample Number: 08

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 14

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 20

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 26 Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Sample Number: 32

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

Section Comments:

Inspection Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW Enhanced

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

24.00 Slabs

24.00 Slabs

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Μ 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 12

Sample Number: 38

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 44

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 13

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 001

Use: TAXIWAY Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02

LCD: 5/3/2017

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 15,102.00

Length (ft): 431.00 Width (ft): 35.00

From: . To:

Slabs: 173 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.75 Joint Length (ft): 2,769.62

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 91 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed: 5

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 19.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 86

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

62 CORNER BREAK L 1.00 Slabs 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 25.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE Μ 24.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 05

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 93

Sample Area (Slabs): 25.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 25.00 Slabs Μ

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 14

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 002

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 5/3/2017 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 13,880.00

Length (ft): 332.50 Width (ft): 35.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 160 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.70 Joint Length (ft): 2,545.09

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 22.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE ı 22.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 97

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 26.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 24.00 Slabs L

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 15

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 003

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2018 Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 13,971.00

Length (ft): 332.50 Width (ft): 35.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 161 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.70 Joint Length (ft): 2,561.77

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 95 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 85

Sample Area (Slabs): 23.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 23.00 Slabs L 4.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 26.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 26.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 24.00 Slabs L

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 24.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 24.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 16

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 004

Branch Name: TAXIWAY 02 Use: TAXIWAY

LCD: 6/3/2018

Surface Type: PCC

Rank: P

Section Area (sf): 12,656.00

Length (ft): 332.50 Width (ft): 35.00

From: . To: .

Slabs: 145 Section Comments:

Slab Length (ft): 10.00 Slab Width (ft): 8.70 Joint Length (ft): 2,320.65

Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022

PCI: 98 Total Samples: 7 Surveyed: 4

Inspection Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

Sample Comments:

PCI Family: IowaPCCTWNCW Enhanced

Sample Number: 01

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 19.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

ı 19.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 02

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 21.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 21.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 03

Sample Type: R

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 04

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 98

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK			Page 17				
	Branch - Sectio	n ID: TH01SX - 001					
Branch Name: T-HANGAR 01			Use: T-HANGAR				
LCD: 6/3/2018 Surface Type: PCC Rank: P Section Area (sf): 84,848.00 Length (ft): 1,251.00 Width (ft): 67.50 From: . To: .		PCI Family: lowaPCCTH N	C NCW				
Slabs: 543 Slab Length (ft): 12.50 Slab Width (ft): 12.50 Joint Length (ft): 12,250.85		Section Comments: avg					
Last Insp Date: 11/20/2022 PCI: 86 Total Samples: 36 Surveyed: 8		Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 03							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 88 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	Sample Comments:					
74 JOINT SPALL Sample Number: 07	M	2.00	Slabs				
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 82 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:	Claha				
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALL	H M		Slabs				
Sample Number: 12							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 90 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 66 SMALL PATCH	M M	20.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs				
Sample Number: 18							
Sample Type: R Sample PCI: 93 Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00		Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00	Slabs				
Sample Number: 23							
Sample Type: R		Sample Comments:					

Sample PCI: 84

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 20.00 Slabs 74 JOINT SPALL Μ 1.00 Slabs

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/14/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 18

Sample Number: 26

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 87

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 20.00 Slabs 66 SMALL PATCH L 1.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 28

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 88

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 20.00 Slabs

Sample Number: 31

Sample Type: R Sample Comments:

Sample PCI: 78

Sample Area (Slabs): 20.00

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE H 20.00 Slabs 71 FAULTING L 4.00 Slabs

APPENDIX D WORK HISTORY REPORT

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/28/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 1

Network: SIOUX COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT

Branch - Section ID: A01SX - 001

 LCD: 5/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 760.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 205.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 168,530.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-03-2017	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
05-02-2017	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
05-01-2017	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00		6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: A01SX - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2021
 Length (ft):
 205.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 180.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 32,715.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2021	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	-

Branch - Section ID: A01SX - 003

 LCD: 7/1/2017
 Length (ft):
 100.00

 Use: APRON
 Width (ft):
 42.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 4,200.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
07-01-2017	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	0.00	True	EST

Branch - Section ID: R17SX - 001

 LCD: 5/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 2,437.50

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 243,750.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-03-2017	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
05-02-2017	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
05-01-2017	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00		6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD DENSITY

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: IA 2022 Generate Date: 6/28/2023

Network ID: SXK Page 2

Branch - Section ID: R17SX - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2018
 Length (ft):
 3,062.50

 Use: RUNWAY
 Width (ft):
 100.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 306,250.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2018	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
06-02-2018	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
06-01-2018	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: T01SX - 001

 LCD: 5/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 1,780.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 62,300.00

Surface: PCC

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
05-03-2017	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
05-02-2017	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
05-01-2017	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: T01SX - 002

 LCD: 6/3/2018
 Length (ft): 3,587.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft): 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf): 125,649.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-03-2018	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
06-02-2018	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
06-01-2018	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 001

 LCD: 5/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 431.00

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 15,102.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-03-2017	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
05-02-2017	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
05-01-2017	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD DENSITY

WORK HISTORY

Pavement Database: AZ 2022 Generate Date: 6/28/2023

Network ID: SXK

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 002

 LCD: 5/3/2017
 Length (ft):
 332.50

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,880.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
05-03-2017	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
05-02-2017	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
05-01-2017	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 003

 LCD: 6/3/2018
 Length (ft):
 332.50

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 13,971.00

Surface: PCC

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
06-03-2018	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
06-02-2018	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
06-01-2018	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: T02SX - 004

 LCD: 6/3/2018
 Length (ft):
 332.50

 Use: TAXIWAY
 Width (ft):
 35.00

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 12,656.00

Surface: PCC

Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major	Comments
Date	Code	Description		(in)	MR	
06-03-2018	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	7.00	True	7" PCC
06-02-2018	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" GRANULAR BASE
06-01-2018	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO98% STANDARD DENSITY

Branch - Section ID: TH01SX - 001

 LCD: 6/3/2018
 Length (ft):
 1,251.00

 Use: T-HANGAR
 Width (ft):
 67.50

 Rank: P
 True Area (sf):
 84,848.00

Surface: PCC

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major MR	Comments
06-01-2021	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$0.00	0.00	False	ESTIMATED
06-03-2018	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0.00	6.00	True	6" PCC
06-02-2018	BA-AG	Base Course - Aggregate	\$0.00	4.00	False	4" GRANULAR BASE
06-01-2018	SG-CO	Subgrade - Compacted	\$0.00	6.00	False	6" MINIMUM SUBGRADE, SCARIFIED AND RECOMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD DENSITY

APPENDIX E

LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COST TABLES

Table E-1. Localized preventive maintenance policy, asphalt-surfaced pavements.

Distussa Tymo	Severity	Maintananaa Aatian		
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action		
Alligator Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Alligator Cracking	High	Asphalt Patch		
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Block Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Block Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Corrugation	Low	Monitor		
Corrugation	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Corrugation	High	Asphalt Patch		
Depression	Low	Monitor		
Depression	Medium	Monitor		
Depression	High	Asphalt Patch		
Jet-Blast Erosion	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Low	Monitor		
Joint Reflection Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Joint Reflection Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	Low	Monitor		
L&T Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
L&T Cracking	High	Crack Seal—Asphalt		
Oil Spillage	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	Low	Monitor		
Patching	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Patching	High	Asphalt Patch		
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor		
Raveling	Low	Monitor		
Raveling	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Raveling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Rutting	Low	Monitor		
Rutting	Medium	Monitor		
Rutting	High	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	Low	Monitor		
Shoving	Medium	Asphalt Patch		
Shoving	High	Asphalt Patch		
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Asphalt Patch		
Swelling	Low	Monitor		
Swelling	Medium	Monitor		
Swelling	High	Asphalt Patch		
Weathering	Low	Monitor		
Weathering	Medium	Monitor		
Weathering	High	Asphalt Patch		

Table E-2. Localized preventive maintenance policy, PCC pavements.

Severity							
Distress Type	Level	Maintenance Action					
ASR	Low	Monitor					
ASR	Medium	Slab Replacement					
ASR	High	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Low	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Blowup	High	Slab Replacement					
Corner Break	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Corner Break	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Corner Break	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Durability Cracking	Low	Monitor					
Durability Cracking	Medium	Full Depth Patch					
Durability Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Faulting	Low	Monitor					
Faulting	Medium	Grinding					
Faulting	High	Slab Replacement					
Joint Seal Damage	Low	Monitor					
Joint Seal Damage	Medium	Joint Seal					
Joint Seal Damage	High	Joint Seal					
LTD Cracking	Low	Monitor					
LTD Cracking	Medium	Crack Seal—PCC					
LTD Cracking	High	Slab Replacement					
Patching (Small and Large)	Low	Monitor					
Patching (Small and Large)	Medium	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Patching (Small and Large)	High	Full Depth PCC Patch					
Popouts	N/A	Monitor					
Pumping	N/A	Monitor					
Scaling	Low	Monitor					
Scaling	Medium	Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Scaling	High	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	Low	Crack Seal—PCC					
Shattered Slab	Medium	Slab Replacement					
Shattered Slab	High	Slab Replacement					
Shrinkage Cracking	N/A	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	Low	Monitor					
Spalling (Joint and Corner) Medium Partial Depth		Partial Depth PCC Patch					
Spalling (Joint and Corner)	High	Partial Depth PCC Patch					

Table E-3. 2023 unit costs for localized preventive maintenance actions.

Maintenance Action	Unit Cost
Asphalt Patch—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$15.24/sf
Crack Sealing—Asphalt-Surfaced Pavement	\$2.61/lf
Partial Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$39.04/sf
Full Depth PCC Patch—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf
Crack Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Joint Sealing—PCC Pavement	\$3.14/lf
Grinding—PCC Pavement	\$0.37/sf
Slab Replacement—PCC Pavement	\$17.43/sf

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

Table E-4. 2023 unit costs (per square foot) based on pavement type and PCI ranges.

Pavement Type	PCI Range 0-40	PCI Range 40–50	PCI Range 50–60	PCI Range 60–70	PCI Range 70–80	PCI Range 80–90	PCI Range 90–100
AC	\$10.82	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$5.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCC	\$18.08	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$8.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Table Note: The unit cost estimates are based on broad statewide numbers and should be adjusted to reflect local costs.

APPENDIX F YEAR 2023 LOCALIZED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE DETAILS

Table F-1. Year 2023 localized preventive maintenance details.

Branch	Section	Distress Type	Severity	Distress Quantity	Distress Unit	Maintenance Action	Unit Cost	2023 Estimated Cost
A01SX	01	Corner Break	Medium	7	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$4,051
A01SX	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	389	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$29,317
A01SX	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	172	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$12,985
A01SX	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	15	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$3,881
A01SX	01	LTD Cracking	Medium	13	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$518
A01SX	01	Shattered Slab	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$79
A01SX	03	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$26
A01SX	03	Joint Seal Damage	High	24	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$1,565
A01SX	03	Shattered Slab	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$83
R17SX	02	Corner Spalling	Medium	10	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$1,030
T01SX	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	179	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$9,087
T01SX	02	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	722	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$36,710
T02SX	01	Corner Break	Low	1	Slabs	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$3.14	\$38
T02SX	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	173	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$8,697
TH01SX	01	Joint Seal Damage	Medium	203	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$14,346
TH01SX	01	Joint Seal Damage	High	338	Slabs	Joint Seal (Localized)	\$3.14	\$23,909
TH01SX	01	Joint Spalling	Medium	20	Slabs	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	\$39.04	\$5,106
TH01SX	01	Small Patch	Medium	3	Slabs	Patching - PCC Full Depth	\$17.43	\$158

Table Notes:

- 1. See Figure 3 for the location of the branch and section.
- 2. Distress types are defined by ASTM D5340-20. L&T Cracking = Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking; LTD Cracking = Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking; ASR = Alkali-Silica Reaction.
- 3. The costs provided are of a general nature for the entire state and may require adjustment to reflect specific conditions at Sioux County Regional Airport.



PREPARED FOR

Iowa Department of Transportation Modal Transportation Bureau 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010 515-239-1691 https://iowadot.gov/aviation

JULY 2023