Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2024)

Department	Transportation	Date:	4-16-24	Total Rule	3
Name:				Count:	
	761	Chapter/	174	Iowa Code	307.12(1)"j,"
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	no specific
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	rule authority
				Rule:	
Contact	Nicole Moore	Email:	Nicole.moore@iowadot.us	Phone:	515.460.0763
Name:					

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To establish requirements for reimbursable services and supplies for cities and counties, in accordance with lowa Code section 307.12.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The Department provides various services for cities and counties and charges accordingly. There are various Department bureaus that provide reimbursable services, and those services are listed in multiple places.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

No costs incurred by the public; the Department provides reimbursable services to cities and counties which is more efficient and less costly for taxpayers.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

No costs incurred by the Department or others to implement and enforce these administrative rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Since there are no costs incurred, the benefits of the chapter being eliminated are recognized as a government efficiency.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \boxtimes YES \square NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Local Systems Instructional Memorandums (I.M.s), Materials I.Ms, and various other internal procedures list various services that the Department will provide to cities and counties. Counties and cities have multiple opportunities to provide input to I.M.s. Each time there is a change requested to the I.M.s, the Department seeks stakeholder input.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Not obsolete, outdated or inconsistent. The administrative rules are unnecessary for the Department to provide reimbursable services to cities and counties.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

761 IAC 174:

174.1 Purpose

174.2 Contact Information

174.3 Reimbursable Services and Supplies

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None.

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	3
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	468
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	4

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES? No.